

# Electronic Journal of Graph Theory and Applications

# $\gamma\text{-}\textsc{Paired}$ dominating graphs of lollipop, umbrella and coconut graphs

Pannawat Eakawinrujee, Nantapath Trakultraipruk\*

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Thammasat University, Pathum Thani 12120, Thailand

p.eakawinrujee@gmail.com, n.trakultraipruk@yahoo.com

\*corresponding author

### Abstract

A paired dominating set of a graph G is a dominating set whose induced subgraph has a perfect matching. The paired domination number  $\gamma_{pr}(G)$  of G is the minimum cardinality of a paired dominating set. A paired dominating set D is a  $\gamma_{pr}(G)$ -set if  $|D| = \gamma_{pr}(G)$ . The  $\gamma$ -paired dominating graph  $PD_{\gamma}(G)$  of G is the graph whose vertex set is the set of all  $\gamma_{pr}(G)$ -sets, and two  $\gamma_{pr}(G)$ -sets  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are adjacent in  $PD_{\gamma}(G)$  if  $D_2 = (D_1 \setminus \{u\}) \cup \{v\}$  for some  $u \in D_1$  and  $v \notin D_1$ . This paper determines the paired domination numbers of lollipop graphs, umbrella graphs, and coconut graphs. We also consider the  $\gamma$ -paired dominating graphs of those three graphs.

*Keywords:* paired dominating graph, paired domination number, gamma graph, lollipop graph, umbrella graph, coconut graph Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C69 DOI: 10.5614/ejgta.2023.11.1.6

# 1. Introduction

We in general follow the graph theory notation and terminology from [22]. Let G be a graph with vertex set V(G) and edge set E(G). The *open* and *closed neighborhoods* of a vertex  $v \in V(G)$  are  $N(v) = \{u \in V(G) : uv \in E(G)\}$  and  $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$ , respectively. The *open* and *closed neighborhoods* of a set  $D \subseteq V(G)$  are  $N(D) = \bigcup_{v \in D} N(v)$  and  $N[D] = N(D) \cup D$ , respectively. We use  $P_k$  and  $C_k$  to denote a path and a cycle, respectively, with k vertices.

Received: 9 December 2021, Revised: 21 October 2022, Accepted: 6 January 2023.

A set  $D \subseteq V(G)$  is a *dominating set* of G if every vertex  $v \in V(G)$  which does not belong to D has a neighbor in D. The *domination number*  $\gamma(G)$  of G is the minimum cardinality among all dominating sets. A dominating set D is a  $\gamma(G)$ -set if  $|D| = \gamma(G)$ . For more details on domination and its variants in graphs, see [2, 5, 11, 12, 14].

Subramanian and Sridharan [21] defined the gamma graph of G, denoted by  $\gamma.G$ , to be the graph whose vertex set is the set of all  $\gamma(G)$ -sets, and two  $\gamma(G)$ -sets  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are adjacent in  $\gamma.G$  if they satisfy the following condition: for some  $u \in D_1$  and  $v \notin D_1$ ,

$$D_2 = (D_1 \setminus \{u\}) \cup \{v\},$$
(1)

or  $|D_1 \setminus D_2| = 1 = |D_2 \setminus D_1|$ . Fricke et al. [9] defined the  $\gamma$ -graph  $G(\gamma)$  of G, which is the graph with  $V(G(\gamma)) = V(\gamma.G)$ , and two  $\gamma(G)$ -sets  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are adjacent in  $G(\gamma)$  if they satisfy the condition (1) and  $uv \in E(G)$ . Observe that  $G(\gamma)$  is a spanning subgraph of  $\gamma.G$ . For additional results on gamma graphs or  $\gamma$ -graphs, see [3, 4, 15, 16, 17].

The k-dominating graph  $D_k(G)$  of G, studied by Haas and Seyffarth [10], is the graph whose vertex set is the set of all dominating sets of G having cardinality at most k, and two vertices of  $D_k(G)$  are adjacent if they differ by either adding or deleting a single vertex. The authors determined conditions for  $D_k(G)$  to be connected. For additional results on k-dominating graph, see [18], and for other variations of the k-dominating graph, see [1, 8].

Wongsriya and Trakultraipruk [23] defined the  $\gamma$ -total dominating graph  $TD_{\gamma}(G)$  of G to be the graph whose vertex set is the set of all  $\gamma_t(G)$ -sets (minimum total dominating sets). Two  $\gamma_t(G)$ sets  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are adjacent in  $TD_{\gamma}(G)$  if they satisfy the condition (1). They studied  $TD_{\gamma}(P_k)$ and  $TD_{\gamma}(C_k)$ . The  $\gamma$ -independent dominating graph [19] and the  $\gamma$ -induced-paired dominating graph [20] are defined similarly.

A set  $D \subseteq V(G)$  is a *paired dominating set* of G if it is a dominating set and the subgraph of G induced by D contains a perfect matching. The *paired domination number*  $\gamma_{pr}(G)$  of G is the minimum cardinality among all paired dominating sets. A paired dominating set D is a  $\gamma_{pr}(G)$ -set if  $|D| = \gamma_{pr}(G)$ . Let D be a paired dominating set of G with a perfect matching M. We say that a vertex  $v \in D$  dominates a vertex u if they are adjacent in G. If an edge  $uv \in M$ , then we call the set  $\{u, v\}$  a pair. The concept of paired domination was introduced by Haynes and Slater [13].

In [6], we defined the  $\gamma$ -paired dominating graph  $PD_{\gamma}(G)$  of G to be the graph whose vertices are  $\gamma_{pr}(G)$ -sets, and two  $\gamma_{pr}(G)$ -sets  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are adjacent in  $PD_{\gamma}(G)$  if they satisfy the condition (1). We studied  $PD_{\gamma}(P_k)$  in [6] and  $PD_{\gamma}(C_k)$  in [7]. This paper determines the paired domination numbers of lollipop graphs, umbrella graphs, and coconut graphs. We also determine the  $\gamma$ -paired dominating graphs of those graphs.

#### 2. Preliminary Results

In this section, we recall some definitions, notations, and results used in the proofs of our main results.

A *support vertex* is a vertex adjacent to a vertex of degree one. Haynes and Slater [13] provided a couple of useful lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1** ([13]). If v is a support vertex of a graph G, then v is in every paired dominating set of G.

**Lemma 2.2** ([13]). Let  $k \ge 2$  be an integer. Then  $\gamma_{pr}(P_k) = 2\lceil \frac{k}{4} \rceil$ .

The *Cartesian product* of graphs G and H, denoted by  $G \Box H$ , is the graph with vertex set  $V(G) \times V(H)$  where vertices  $(u_1, v_1)$  and  $(u_2, v_2)$  are adjacent if and only if either  $u_1 = u_2$  and  $v_1v_2 \in E(H)$ , or  $v_1 = v_2$  and  $u_1u_2 \in E(G)$ .

Let  $P_p: u_1u_2u_3 \cdots u_p$  and  $P_q: v_1v_2v_3 \cdots v_q$  be the paths, where p and q are positive integers. Fricke et al. [9] defined a *stepgrid*  $SG_{p,q}$  to be the subgraph of  $P_p \Box P_q$  induced by  $\{(u_x, v_y): 1 \le x \le p, 1 \le y \le q, x - y \le 1\}$ . We call the vertex  $(u_x, v_y)$  in the stepgrid as the vertex at the position (x, y). The stepgrids  $SG_{2,2}$  and  $SG_{4,3}$  are shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1: The stepgrids  $SG_{2,2}$  (left) and  $SG_{4,3}$  (right)

Let  $P_p : u_1 u_2 u_3 \cdots u_p$ ,  $P_q : v_1 v_2 v_3 \cdots v_q$ , and  $P_r : w_1 w_2 w_3 \cdots w_r$  be the paths, where p, q, and r are positive integers. In [6], we defined a *stepgrid*  $SG_{p,q,r}$  be the graph with vertex set

$$V(SG_{p,q,r}) = \{ (u_x, v_y, w_z) \in V(P_p \Box P_q \Box P_r) : 1 \le x \le p, 1 \le y \le q, 1 \le z \le r, x - y \le 0, x - z \le 1, y - z \ge 0 \}$$

and edge set

$$E(SG_{p,q,r}) = E(P_p \Box P_q \Box P_r) \cup \{(u_x, v_x, w_x)(u_{x+1}, v_{x+1}, w_x) : 1 \le x \le p-1\}.$$

The vertex  $(u_x, v_y, w_z)$  is called the *vertex at the position* (x, y, z) in  $SG_{p,q,r}$ . The stepgrid  $SG_{4,4,3}$  is shown in Figure 2, where we write (x, y, z) for  $(u_x, v_y, w_z)$ .

Eakawinrujee and Trakultraipruk [6] determined the  $\gamma$ -paired dominating graphs of paths and their properties. At this point, we denote  $P_k : v_1v_2v_3 \cdots v_k$  to be the path with k vertices.

**Lemma 2.3** ([6]). Let  $k \ge 0$  be an integer. Then there is exactly one  $\gamma_{pr}(P_{4k+3})$ -set containing the pair  $\{v_{4k+2}, v_{4k+3}\}$  and this set has degree one in  $PD_{\gamma}(P_{4k+3})$ .

**Lemma 2.4** ([6]). Let  $k \ge 1$  be an integer. All  $\gamma_{pr}(P_{4k+2})$ -sets containing the pair  $\{v_{4k+1}, v_{4k+2}\}$ form a path with k+1 vertices in  $PD_{\gamma}(P_{4k+2})$ , where one endpoint contains the pair  $\{v_{4k-2}, v_{4k-1}\}$ and the others contain the pair  $\{v_{4k-3}, v_{4k-2}\}$ .

**Lemma 2.5** ([6]). Let  $k \ge 1$  be an integer. Then all  $\gamma_{pr}(P_{4k+1})$ -sets containing the pair  $\{v_{4k}, v_{4k+1}\}$ form a stepgrid  $SG_{k+1,k}$  in  $PD_{\gamma}(P_{4k+1})$  (see Figure 3), where  $D_{1,k}, D_{2,k}, \ldots, D_{k,k}$  contain the pair  $\{v_{4k-3}, v_{4k-2}\}$ ,  $D_{k+1,k}$  contains the pair  $\{v_{4k-2}, v_{4k-1}\}$ , and the others contain the pair  $\{v_{4k-4}, v_{4k-3}\}$ . Moreover,  $D_{1,1}, D_{2,1}, D_{1,k}$  have degree three,  $D_{2,k}, D_{3,k}, \ldots, D_{k,k}$  have degree four, and  $D_{k+1,k}$  has degree two in  $PD_{\gamma}(P_{4k+1})$ .



Figure 2: The stepgrid  $SG_{4,4,3}$ 



Figure 3: The stepgrid  $SG_{k+1,k}$  in  $PD_{\gamma}(P_{4k+1})$ 

**Theorem 2.1** ([6]). Let  $k \ge 1$  be an integer. Then  $PD_{\gamma}(P_{4k}) \cong P_1$ .

**Theorem 2.2** ([6]). Let  $k \ge 0$  be an integer. Then  $PD_{\gamma}(P_{4k+3}) \cong P_{k+2}$ .

**Theorem 2.3** ([6]). Let  $k \ge 0$  be an integer. Then  $PD_{\gamma}(P_{4k+2}) \cong SG_{k+1,k+1}$ .

**Theorem 2.4** ([6]). Let  $k \ge 1$  be an integer. Then  $PD_{\gamma}(P_{4k+1}) \cong SG_{k+1,k+1,k}$ .

From the proof of Theorem 2.2, we get the following result.

**Corollary 2.1.** Let  $k \ge 1$  be an integer and  $PD_{\gamma}(P_{4k-1}) \cong P_{k+1} \cong D_1D_2 \cdots D_{k+1}$ , where  $D_x$ is a  $\gamma_{pr}(P_{4k-1})$ -set for all  $x \in \{1, 2, ..., k+1\}$ . If  $D_{k+1}$  contains the pair  $\{v_{4k-2}, v_{4k-1}\}$ , then  $D_x = S_x \cup \{v_{4k-3}, v_{4k-2}\}$ , where  $S_x$  is a  $\gamma_{pr}(P_{4k-5})$ -set for all  $x \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$  and especially  $S_k$  contains the pair  $\{v_{4k-6}, v_{4k-5}\}$ , and  $D_{k+1} = S_k \cup \{v_{4k-2}, v_{4k-1}\}$ .

The following corollary can be obtained from the proofs of Lemma 2.5 and Theorem 2.4.

**Corollary 2.2.** Let  $k \ge 1$  be an integer and  $D_{x,y,z}$  the  $\gamma_{pr}(P_{4k+1})$ -set at the position (x, y, z) in  $PD_{\gamma}(P_{4k+1}) \cong SG_{k+1,k+1,k}$  for all  $x, y \in \{1, 2, ..., k+1\}$ ,  $z \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$  with  $x - y \le 0, x - z \le 1, y - z \ge 0$ . If either x = 1 or y = k + 1, then  $D_{x,y,z}$  contains the pair  $\{v_{4k}, v_{4k+1}\}$ . Moreover, if  $D_{x,k+1,z}$  contains the pair  $\{v_{4k}, v_{4k+1}\}$ , then

 $\gamma$ -Paired dominating graphs | P. Eakawinrujee and N. Trakultraipruk

- (1)  $D_{x,k+1,z} = (D_{x,k,z} \setminus \{v_{4k-1}\}) \cup \{v_{4k+1}\}$  for all  $x, z \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , and  $D_{k+1,k+1,k} = (D_{k,k,k} \setminus \{v_{4k-3}\}) \cup \{v_{4k+1}\}$ ,
- (2)  $D_{x,k+1,k} = D_x \cup \{v_{4k-3}, v_{4k-2}, v_{4k}, v_{4k+1}\}$ , where  $D_x$  is a  $\gamma_{pr}(P_{4k-5})$ -set for all  $x \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ ,  $D_k$  contains the pair  $\{v_{4k-6}, v_{4k-5}\}$ , and  $D_{k+1,k+1,k} = D_k \cup \{v_{4k-2}, v_{4k-1}, v_{4k}, v_{4k+1}\}$ ,
- (3)  $D_{x,k+1,z}$  contains the pairs  $\{v_{4k-4}, v_{4k-3}\}, \{v_{4k}, v_{4k+1}\}$  for all z < k.

Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be complete graphs with p vertices, where  $V(G_1) = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_p\}$  and  $V(G_2) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_p\}$ . We define  $A_p$  to be the graph with vertex set  $V(A_p) = \{(u_x, v_y) \in V(G_1 \square G_2) : 1 \le x \le y \le p\}$  and edge set  $E(A_p) = E(G_1 \square G_2) \cup \{(u_x, v_y)(u_{y+1}, v_z) : 1 \le x \le y \le z \le p\}$ . We illustrate the graph  $A_3$  as shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4: The graphs  $PD_{\gamma}(K_4)$  (left) and  $A_3$  (right)

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $k \ge 2$  be an integer. Then  $PD_{\gamma}(K_k) \cong A_{k-1}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $V(K_k) = \{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_k\}$ . Note that  $\gamma_{pr}(K_k) = 2$ , so  $V(PD_{\gamma}(K_k)) = \{\{w_m, w_n\}: 1 \le m < n \le k\}$ . Let  $V(A_{k-1}) = \{(u_x, v_y): 1 \le x \le y \le k-1\}$ . Define  $f: V(PD_{\gamma}(K_k)) \to V(A_{k-1})$  by  $f(\{w_m, w_n\}) = (u_m, v_{n-1})$ . Clearly, f is bijection, and preserve edges and non-edges. The theorem follows.

#### 3. Paired Domination Numbers of Lollipop Graphs, Umbrella Graphs, and Coconut Graphs

In this section, we give the definitions of a lollipop graph, a umbrella graph, and a coconut graph. We then determine the paired domination numbers of those graphs.

A lollipop graph  $L_{p,q}$  is obtained by appending an endpoint of a path  $P_p$  to a vertex of a complete graph  $K_q$ . For convenence, we label the vertices of the path as  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_p$  and the vertices of the complete graph as  $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_q$ , where  $v_p$  is adjacent to  $u_1$ . For example, the lollipop graph  $L_{7,6}$  is shown in Figure 5.

A umbrella graph  $U_{p,q}$  is obtained by joining an endpoint of a path  $P_p$  to the central vertex of a fan graph  $F_q \cong K_1 \vee P_{q-1}$ . A coconut graph  $C_{p,q}$  is obtained by joining an endpoint of a path  $P_p$  to the support vertex of a star graph  $S_q \cong K_{1,q-1}$ . We label the vertices of  $U_{p,q}$  and  $C_{p,q}$  as shown in Figures 6 and 7, respectively.

Let p be a positive integer. If q = 1, then  $L_{p,q} \cong U_{p,q} \cong C_{p,q} \cong P_{p+1}$ , so  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{p,q}) = \gamma_{pr}(U_{p,q}) = \gamma_{pr}(C_{p,q}) = 2\lceil \frac{p+1}{4} \rceil$  by Lemma 2.2. If  $q \ge 2$ , then we get the following theorem.



Figure 5: The lollipop graph  $L_{7.6}$ 



Figure 6: The umbrella graph  $U_{p,q}$ 



Figure 7: The coconut graph  $C_{p,q}$ 

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $p \ge 1$  and  $q \ge 2$  be integers. Then  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{p,q}) = \gamma_{pr}(U_{p,q}) = \gamma_{pr}(C_{p,q}) = 2\lceil \frac{p+2}{4} \rceil$ .

Proof. If q = 2, then  $L_{p,q}$  is a path with p + 2 vertices. By Lemma 2.2, we get  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{p,2}) = 2\lceil \frac{p+2}{4} \rceil$ . Let  $q \ge 3$  and  $\hat{P}_{u_2}$  be the graph obtained from  $L_{p,q}$  by deleting the vertices  $u_3, u_4, \ldots, u_q$ . Clearly,  $\hat{P}_{u_2}$  is a path with p + 2 vertices, and  $\gamma_{pr}(\hat{P}_{u_2}) = 2\lceil \frac{p+2}{4} \rceil$ . Let D be a  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{p,q})$ -set. To prove  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{p,q}) \ge 2\lceil \frac{p+2}{4} \rceil$ , we show that  $|D| \ge \gamma_{pr}(\hat{P}_{u_2})$ . If  $u_1 \in D$ , then D contains either the pair  $\{v_p, u_1\}$  or, without loss of generality,  $\{u_1, u_2\}$ . In both cases, D is a paired dominating set of  $\hat{P}_{u_2}$ , so  $|D| \ge \gamma_{pr}(\hat{P}_{u_2})$ . Thus, we assume that  $u_1 \notin D$ . Since D is a  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{p,q})$ -set, D must contain exactly two vertices from  $\{u_2, u_3, \ldots, u_q\}$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that D contains the pair  $\{u_2, u_3\}$ . Hence,  $D' = (D \setminus \{u_3\}) \cup \{u_1\}$  is a paired dominating set of  $\hat{P}_{u_2}$ , so  $|D| = |D'| \ge \gamma_{pr}(\hat{P}_{u_2})$ . Now, we get  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{p,q}) \ge 2\lceil \frac{p+2}{4} \rceil$ . Note that  $U_{p,q}$  and  $C_{p,q}$  are spanning subgraphs of  $L_{p,q}$ , so  $\gamma_{pr}(U_{p,q}) \ge \gamma_{pr}(L_{p,q})$  and  $\gamma_{pr}(C_{p,q}) \ge \gamma_{pr}(L_{p,q})$ . Next, we show the upper bounds of  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{p,q}), \gamma_{pr}(U_{p,q})$ , and  $\gamma_{pr}(C_{p,q})$ . If  $p \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$ , let  $D = \{v_i, v_{i+1} : i \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, i \leq p-3\} \cup \{v_p, u_1\}$ ; otherwise, let  $D = \{v_i, v_{i+1} : i \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, i \leq p-5\} \cup \{v_{p-2}, v_{p-1}, v_p, u_1\}$ . Then D is a paired dominating set of  $L_{p,q}$  with cardinality  $2\lceil \frac{p+2}{4} \rceil$ , so  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{p,q}) \leq 2\lceil \frac{p+2}{4} \rceil$ . Since D is also a paired dominating set of  $U_{p,q}$  and  $C_{p,q}, \gamma_{pr}(U_{p,q}) \leq 2\lceil \frac{p+2}{4} \rceil$  and  $\gamma_{pr}(C_{p,q}) \leq 2\lceil \frac{p+2}{4} \rceil$ .

#### 4. $\gamma$ -Paired Dominating Graphs of Lollipop Graphs

In this section, we determine the  $\gamma$ -paired dominating graph of a lollipop graph  $L_{p,q}$ . If q = 1, then we get the  $\gamma$ -paired dominating graph of  $L_{p,q} \cong P_{p+1}$  from Theorems 2.1 - 2.4. For  $q \ge 2$ , we consider the value of p into four cases and then we obtain the following results.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $k \ge 0$  and  $q \ge 2$  be integers. Then  $PD_{\gamma}(L_{4k+2,q}) \cong P_1$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.1, we have  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k+2,q}) = 2k + 2$ . It is easy to check that there is exactly one  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k+2,q})$ -set, which is  $D = \{v_{4i+2}, v_{4i+3} : 0 \le i \le k-1\} \cup \{v_{4k+2}, u_1\}$ , so the theorem holds.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $k \ge 0$  and  $q \ge 2$  be integers. Then each  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k+1,q})$ -set contains the vertex  $u_1$ . Moreover, if a  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k+1,q})$ -set contains the pair  $\{u_1, u_i\}$  for some i, then this set does not contain  $v_{4k+1}$ .

*Proof.* If q = 2, then  $u_1$  is a support vertex of  $L_{4k+1,q}$ , so this lemma holds by Lemma 2.1. Let  $q \ge 3$  and suppose on the contrary that there is a  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k+1,q})$ -set D such that  $u_1 \notin D$ . Then D must contain exactly two vertices from  $\{u_2, u_3, \ldots, u_q\}$ . Since |D| = 2k + 2, the other 2k vertices of D must dominate all vertices in  $P_{4k+1}$ . This contradicts the fact that 2k vertices can dominate at most 4k vertices in  $P_{4k+1}$ .

Next, we suppose that there is a  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k+1,q})$ -set D containing the pairs  $\{v_{4k}, v_{4k+1}\}, \{u_1, u_i\}$  for some i. Then  $v_{4k-1} \notin D$ . Recall that |D| = 2k+2, so the other 2k-2 vertices must dominate all vertices in  $P_{4k-2}$ , which is impossible.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $k \ge 0$  and  $q \ge 2$  be integers. Then  $PD_{\gamma}(L_{4k+1,q}) \cong L_{k,q}$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 4.1, each  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k+1,q})$ -set must contain either the pair  $\{v_{4k+1}, u_1\}$  or  $\{u_1, u_i\}$  where  $i \neq 1$ . We first find all  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k+1,q})$ -sets containing the pair  $\{v_{4k+1}, u_1\}$ . Note that these sets do not contain  $u_2, u_3, \ldots, u_q$ . Let P be the subgraph of  $L_{4k+1,q}$  induced by  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{4k+1}, u_1\}$ . Clearly, P is a path with 4k + 2 vertices. Then  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k+1,q}) = 2k + 2 = \gamma_{pr}(P)$ , and every  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k+1,q})$ -set containing the pair  $\{v_{4k+1}, u_1\}$  is a  $\gamma_{pr}(P)$ -set containing the pair  $\{v_{4k+1}, u_1\}$  and vice versa. By Lemma 2.4, we get that all  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k+1,q})$ -sets containing the pair  $\{v_{4k+1}, u_1\}$  form a path  $D_1D_2 \cdots D_{k+1}$  in  $PD_{\gamma}(L_{4k+1,q})$  where, without loss of generality,  $D_{k+1}$  contains the pair  $\{v_{4k-2}, v_{4k-1}\}$  and the others contain the pair  $\{v_{4k-3}, v_{4k-2}\}$ .

We next find all  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k+1,q})$ -sets containing the pair  $\{u_1, u_i\}$  where  $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, q\}$ . By Lemma 4.1, these sets do not contain  $v_{4k+1}$ . Then such a  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k+1,q})$ -set is a union of a  $\gamma_{pr}(P_{4k})$ set and  $\{u_1, u_i\}$ . Theorem 2.1 shows that, for each *i*, there is only one  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k+1,q})$ -set containing the pair  $\{u_1, u_i\}$ . For each  $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, q\}$ , let

$$D_{k+i} = (D_{k+1} \setminus \{v_{4k+1}\}) \cup \{u_i\}.$$

Thus, for each *i*,  $D_{k+i}$  is the only  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k+1,q})$ -set containing the pair  $\{u_1, u_i\}$ . It is clear that  $D_{k+1}, D_{k+2}, \ldots, D_{k+q}$  are pairwise adjacent. We can check that, for all  $x \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$  and  $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, q\}, (D_x \setminus \{v_{4k+1}\}) \cup \{u_i\}$  is not a dominating set, and thus  $D_x$  is not adjacent to all  $D_{k+2}, D_{k+3}, \ldots, D_{k+q}$ . Therefore, all  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k+1,q})$ -sets form a lollipop graph  $L_{k,q}$ .

Let p and q be positive integers. We define  $A_{p,q}$  to be the graph with  $V(A_{p,q}) = V(SG_{p,q})$  and  $E(A_{p,q}) = E(SG_{p,q}) \cup \{(u_x, v_y)(u_x, v_{y'}) : p-1 \le y < y'-1 \le q-1\}$ . We also define  $B_{p,q}$  to be the graph with

$$V(B_{p,q}) = V(A_{p,q}) \cup \{(u_x, v_y) : p+1 \le x \le y \le q\}$$

and

$$E(B_{p,q}) = E(A_{p,q}) \cup \{(u_x, v_y)(u_x, v_{y'}) : p+1 \le x \le q-1, x \le y < y' \le q\} \cup \{(u_x, v_y)(u_{x'}, v_y) : p+1 \le y \le q, p \le x < x' \le y\} \cup \{(u_x, v_y)(u_{y+1}, v_z) : p \le x \le y < z \le q\}.$$

Figure 8 shows the graphs  $A_{3,4}$  and  $A_{4,6}$  and Figure 9 shows the graphs  $B_{3,4}$  and  $B_{4,6}$ , where we use (x, y) instead of  $(u_x, v_y)$ . Note that if  $p \ge q$ , then  $A_{p,q} \cong B_{p,q} \cong SG_{p,q}$ .

•			•	•	•		$\sim$		
(1, 1)	(1, 2)	(1, 3)	(1, 4)	(1, 1)	(1, 2)	(1, 3)	(1, 4)	(1, 5)	(1, 6)
		$\vdash$					$\sim$		
(2, 1)	(2, 2)	(2, 3)	(2, 4)	(2, 1)	(2, 2)	(2, 3)	(2, 4)	(2, 5)	(2, 6)
		$\vdash$							
	(3, 2)	(3, 3)	(3, 4)		(3, 2)	(3, 3)	(3, 4)	(3, 5)	(3, 6)
						(4, 3)	(4, 4)	(4, 5)	(4, 6)

Figure 8: The graphs  $A_{3,4}$  (left) and  $A_{4,6}$  (right)

## **Theorem 4.3.** Let $k \ge 1$ and $q \ge 2$ be integers. Then $PD_{\gamma}(L_{4k,q}) \cong B_{k+1,k+q-1}$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $L_{4k,2} \cong P_{4k+2}$ . By Theorem 2.3, we get  $PD_{\gamma}(L_{4k,2}) \cong SG_{k+1,k+1} \cong B_{k+1,k+1}$ . Let  $q \ge 3$ . If a  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k,q})$ -set contains the vertex  $u_1$ , then it contains either the pair  $\{v_{4k}, u_1\}$  or  $\{u_1, u_i\}$  where  $i \ne 1$ . We first find all  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k,q})$ -sets containing the pair  $\{v_{4k}, u_1\}$ . Let P be the subgraph of  $L_{4k,q}$  induced by  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{4k}, u_1\}$ . Then each  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k,q})$ -set containing the pair  $\{v_{4k}, u_1\}$  is a  $\gamma_{pr}(P)$ -set containing the pair  $\{v_{4k}, u_1\}$  and vice versa. By Lemma 2.5, all  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k,q})$ -sets containing the pair  $\{v_{4k}, u_1\}$  form a stepgrid  $SG_{k+1,k}$  in  $PD_{\gamma}(L_{4k,q})$ . For all  $x \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k+1\}$  and  $y \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$  with  $x - y \le 1$ , let  $D_{x,y}$  be the  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k,q})$ -set containing the pair  $\{v_{4k-3}, v_{4k-2}\}$ ,  $D_{k+1,k}$  contains the pair  $\{v_{4k-2}, v_{4k-1}\}$ , and  $D_{x,y}$  contains the pair  $\{v_{4k-4}, v_{4k-3}\}$  for all  $y \ne k$ .



Figure 9: The graphs  $B_{3,4}$  (left) and  $B_{4,6}$  (right)

We next find all  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k,q})$ -sets containing the pair  $\{u_1, u_i\}$  where  $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, q\}$ . Similar to Lemma 4.1, these sets do not contain  $v_{4k}$ . Then such a  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k,q})$ -set is a union of a  $\gamma_{pr}(P_{4k-1})$ set and  $\{u_1, u_i\}$ . By Theorem 2.2, for each *i*, there are k + 1  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k,q})$ -sets containing the pair  $\{u_1, u_i\}$  and they form a path in  $PD_{\gamma}(L_{4k,q})$ . Recall that  $D_{1,k}, D_{2,k}, \ldots, D_{k,k}$  contain the pairs  $\{v_{4k-3}, v_{4k-2}\}, \{v_{4k}, u_1\}$ , and  $D_{k+1,k}$  contains the pairs  $\{v_{4k-2}, v_{4k-1}\}, \{v_{4k}, u_1\}$ . For each  $x \in$  $\{1, 2, \ldots, k + 1\}$  and  $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, q\}$ , let

$$D_{x,k+i-1} = (D_{x,k} \setminus \{v_{4k}\}) \cup \{u_i\}.$$

Hence, for each *i*, the sets  $D_{1,k+i-1}, D_{2,k+i-1}, \ldots, D_{k+1,k+i-1}$  are the only  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k,q})$ -sets containing the pair  $\{u_1, u_i\}$  and they form a path. We also get that, for each  $x, D_{x,k}, D_{x,k+1}, \ldots, D_{x,k+q-1}$  are pairwise adjacent. Note that  $D_{x,y}$  with y < k contains the pairs  $\{v_{4k-4}, v_{4k-3}\}, \{v_{4k}, u_1\}$ , so  $(D_{x,y} \setminus \{v_{4k}\}) \cup \{u_i\}$  is not a dominating set for all *i*. This means that  $D_{x,y}$  with y < k is not adjacent to every  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k,q})$ -set containing the pair  $\{u_1, u_i\}$ . Now, all  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k,q})$ -sets containing  $u_1$  form a graph  $A_{k+1,k+q-1}$  in  $PD_{\gamma}(L_{4k,q})$  (see Figure 10).

We finally find all  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k,q})$ -sets that do not contain  $u_1$ . Then these sets contain exactly two vertices from  $\{u_2, u_3, \ldots, u_q\}$ . Note that such a  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k,q})$ -set is a union of a  $\gamma_{pr}(P_{4k})$ -set and  $\{u_i, u_j\}$  for some distinct  $i, j \in \{2, 3, \ldots, q\}$ . Clearly,  $D = \{v_{4i+2}, v_{4i+3} : 0 \le i \le k-1\}$  is a unique  $\gamma_{pr}(P_{4k})$ -set. Thus,  $D \cup \{u_i, u_j\}$  is the only  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k,q})$ -set containing the pair  $\{u_i, u_j\}$ . Recall that, for each  $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, q\}$ ,  $D_{k+1,k+i-1}$  contains the pairs  $\{v_{4k-2}, v_{4k-1}\}, \{u_1, u_i\}$ . Then  $D_{k+1,k+i-1}$  is a union of a  $\gamma_{pr}(P_{4k-4})$ -set and  $\{v_{4k-2}, v_{4k-1}, u_1, u_i\}$ , and thus  $D_{k+1,k+i-1} =$  $\{v_{4i+2}, v_{4i+3} : 0 \le i \le k-2\} \cup \{v_{4k-2}, v_{4k-1}, u_1, u_i\} = D \cup \{u_1, u_i\}$ . For all  $1 \le i < j \le q$ , let

$$D^{i,j} = D \cup \{u_i, u_j\}.$$

Theorem 2.5 implies that all  $D^{i,j}$ 's form a graph  $A_{q-1}$  in  $PD_{\gamma}(L_{4k,q})$  (see Figure 10). Note that  $D_{x,y}$  with  $y \leq k$  does not contain  $u_2, u_3, \ldots, u_q$ , so it is not adjacent to  $D^{i,j}$  for all  $2 \leq i < j \leq q$ . Recall that, for each  $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, q\}$ ,  $D_{x,k+i-1}$  with  $x \leq k$  contains the pairs



Figure 10: The graph  $B_{k+1,k+q-1}$ 

 $\{v_{4k-3}, v_{4k-2}\}, \{u_1, u_i\}$ , so  $(D_{x,k+i-1} \setminus \{u_1\}) \cup \{u_j\}$  is not a dominating set for  $j \neq 1$ , and thus  $D_{x,k+i-1}$  is not adjacent to  $D^{i,j}$  for all  $2 \leq i < j \leq q$ . This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

Let p, q and r be positive integers. Let  $A_{p,q,r}$  be the graph with  $V(A_{p,q,r}) = V(SG_{p,q,r})$  and

$$E(A_{p,q,r}) = E(SG_{p,q,r}) \cup \{(u_x, v_y, w_z)(u_x, v_{y'}, w_z) : r+2 \le y+2 \le y' \le q\} \cup \{(u_r, v_r, w_r)(u_{r+1}, v_{y'}, w_r) : r+2 \le y' \le q\}.$$

Let  $B_{p,q,r}$  be the graph with

$$V(B_{p,q,r}) = V(A_{p,q,r}) \cup \{(u_x, v_y, w_z) : 1 \le x \le p, r+1 \le z < y \le q\}$$

and

$$\begin{split} E(B_{p,q,r}) &= E(A_{p,q,r}) \cup \{(u_x, v_y, w_z)(u_x, v_y, w_{z'}) : r+2 \leq y \leq q, r \leq z < z' \leq y-1\} \cup \\ &\{(u_x, v_y, w_z)(u_x, v_{y'}, w_z) : r+1 \leq z \leq q-2, z+1 \leq y < y' \leq q\} \cup \\ &\{(u_x, v_y, w_z)(u_x, v_{y'}, w_y) : r \leq z < y < y' \leq q\} \cup \\ &\{(u_x, v_y, w_z)(u_{x+1}, v_y, w_z) : r < z < q\}. \end{split}$$

The graphs  $A_{4,5,3}$  and  $A_{3,5,2}$  are shown in Figure 11, while the graphs  $B_{4,5,3}$  and  $B_{3,5,2}$  are shown in Figure 12, where we write (x, y, z) instead of  $(u_x, v_y, w_z)$ . We observe that if q = r or q = r + 1, then  $A_{p,q,r} \cong B_{p,q,r} \cong SG_{p,q,r}$ .



Figure 11: The graphs  $A_{4,5,3}$  (left) and  $A_{3,5,2}$  (right)



Figure 12: The graphs  $B_{4,5,3}$  (left) and  $B_{3,5,2}$  (right)

#### **Theorem 4.4.** Let $k \ge 1$ and $q \ge 2$ be integers. Then $PD_{\gamma}(L_{4k-1,q}) \cong B_{k+1,k+q-1,k}$ .

Proof. If q = 2, then  $L_{4k-1,q} \cong P_{4k+1}$ , so  $PD_{\gamma}(L_{4k-1,2}) \cong SG_{k+1,k+1,k} \cong B_{k+1,k+1,k}$  by Theorem 2.4. Let  $q \ge 3$ . We first find all  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k-1,q})$ -sets containing the vertex  $u_1$ . For each  $i \in \{2,3,\ldots,q\}$ , let  $P^i$  be the subgraph of  $L_{4k-1,q}$  induced by  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{4k-1}, u_1, u_i\}$ , and then  $PD_{\gamma}(P^i) \cong SG_{k+1,k+1,k}$  by Theorem 2.4. For all  $x, y \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k+1\}, z \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$  with  $x - y \le 0, x - z \le 1, y - z \ge 0$  and for each  $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, q\}$ , let  $D^i_{x,y,z}$  be the  $\gamma_{pr}(P^i)$ -set at the position (x, y, z) in  $SG_{k+1,k+1,k}$ . By Corollary 2.2, without loss of generality, we may assume that  $D^i_{x,k+1,z}$  contains the pair  $\{u_1, u_i\}$  and  $D^i_{x,y,z}$  contains the pair  $\{v_{4k-1}, u_1\}$  for all  $y \ne k + 1$ . Note that, for  $y \ne k + 1$ , we have  $D^i_{x,y,z} = D^j_{x,y,z}$  for all  $i, j \in \{2, 3, \ldots, q\}$ , and then we let  $D_{x,y,z} = D^i_{x,y,z}$ . Note that  $\gamma_{pr}(P^i) = 2k + 2 = \gamma_{pr}(L_{4k-1,q})$ . Hence, each  $\gamma_{pr}(P^i)$ -set is a  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k-1,q})$ -set for all  $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, q\}$ . Therefore,  $D_{x,y,z}$  with  $y \ne k + 1$  is a  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k-1,q})$ -set containing the pair  $\{v_{4k-1}, u_1\}$ , and  $D^i_{x,k+1,z}$  is adjacent to  $D^j_{x,k+1,z}$  for all  $i \ne j$ . By Corollary 2.2(1), for  $x, z \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}, D^i_{x,k+1,z} = (D_{x,k,z} \setminus \{v_{4k-1}\}) \cup \{u_i\} = (D^j_{x,k+1,z} \setminus \{u_j\}) \cup \{u_i\} = (D^j_{x,k+1,z} \setminus \{u_j\}) \cup \{u_i\} = (D^j_{x,k+1,z} \setminus \{u_j\}) \cup \{u_i\}$  and  $D^i_{x,k+1,z} = (D_{x,k,z} \setminus \{v_{4k-1}\}) \cup \{u_i\} = (D^j_{x,k+1,z} \setminus \{u_j\}) \cup \{u_i\}$ .

 $[(D_{k,k,k} \setminus \{v_{4k-3}\}) \cup \{u_j\}] \setminus \{u_j\} \cup \{u_i\} = (D_{k+1,k+1,k}^j \setminus \{u_j\}) \cup \{u_i\}$ . The claim holds. For each  $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, q\}$ , let  $D_{x,k+i-1,z} = D_{x,k+1,z}^i$ . Note that every  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k-1,q})$ -set containing  $u_1$  is a  $\gamma_{pr}(P^i)$ -set for some  $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, q\}$ , so all  $D_{x,y,z}$ 's are the only  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k-1,q})$ -sets containing  $u_1$ and they form a graph  $A_{k+1,k+q-1,k}$  in  $PD_{\gamma}(L_{4k-1,q})$  (see Figure 11 (left) for k = 3 and q = 2).

We next find all  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k-1,q})$ -sets that do not contain the vertex  $u_1$ . Then such a  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k-1,q})$ set is a union of a  $\gamma_{pr}(P_{4k-1})$ -set and  $\{u_i, u_j\}$  for some distinct  $i, j \in \{2, 3, \ldots, q\}$ . By Theorem 2.2,  $PD_{\gamma}(P_{4k-1}) \cong P_{k+1} \cong D_1D_2 \cdots D_{k+1}$ , where  $D_x$  is a  $\gamma_{pr}(P_{4k-1})$ -set for all  $x \in$  $\{1, 2, \ldots, k+1\}$ . By Lemma 2.3, without loss of generality, we may assume that  $D_{k+1}$  contains the pair  $\{v_{4k-2}, v_{4k-1}\}$ . For all  $x \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k+1\}$  and  $2 \leq i < j \leq q$ , let  $D_x^{i,j} = D_x \cup \{u_i, u_j\}$ . Thus, for each pair of i and j, the sets  $D_1^{i,j}, D_2^{i,j}, \ldots, D_{k+1}^{i,j}$  are the only  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k-1,q})$ -sets containing the pair  $\{u_i, u_j\}$  and they form a path in  $PD_{\gamma}(L_{4k-1,q})$ . By Corollary 2.1, for all  $x \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ and  $2 \leq i < j \leq q$ ,

$$D_x^{i,j} = D_x \cup \{u_i, u_j\} = S_x \cup \{v_{4k-3}, v_{4k-2}, u_i, u_j\},\$$

where  $S_x$  is a  $\gamma_{pr}(P_{4k-5})$ -set and especially  $S_k$  contains the pair  $\{v_{4k-6}, v_{4k-5}\}$ , and

$$D_{k+1}^{i,j} = D_{k+1} \cup \{u_i, u_j\} = S_k \cup \{v_{4k-2}, v_{4k-1}, u_i, u_j\}$$

For all  $x \in \{1, 2, ..., k+1\}$  and  $i \in \{2, 3, ..., q\}$ , let  $D_x^{1,i} = D_{x,k+i-1,k} = D_{x,k+1,k}^i$ . By Corollary 2.2(2), for all  $x \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$  and  $i \in \{2, 3, ..., q\}$ , we have

$$D_x^{1,i} = D_{x,k+1,k}^i = S_x' \cup \{v_{4k-3}, v_{4k-2}, u_1, u_i\},\$$

where  $S'_x$  is a  $\gamma_{pr}(P_{4k-5})$ -set and particularly  $S'_k$  contains the pair  $\{v_{4k-6}, v_{4k-5}\}$ , and

$$D_{k+1}^{1,i} = D_{k+1,k+1,k}^i = S_k' \cup \{v_{4k-2}, v_{4k-1}, u_1, u_i\}.$$

By Lemma 2.3, we get  $S_k = S'_k$ . Theorem 2.2 shows that  $S_x = S'_x$  for all  $x \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ . Therefore, for each  $x \in \{1, 2, ..., k+1\}$ , all  $D_x^{i,j}$ 's with  $1 \le i < j \le q$  form a graph  $A_{q-1}$  in  $PD_{\gamma}(L_{4k-1,q})$  (see Figure 13).

Let  $D = \{D_x^{i,j} : 1 \le x \le k+1, 2 \le i < j \le q\}$ . Note that  $D_{x,y,z}$  with  $y \le k$  does not contain  $u_2, u_3, \ldots, u_q$ , so it is not adjacent to any set in D. By Corollary 2.2(3), for each  $i \in \{2, 3, \ldots, q\}, D_{x,k+i-1,z} = D_{x,k+1,z}^i$  with z < k contains the pairs  $\{v_{4k-4}, v_{4k-3}\}, \{u_1, u_i\}$ , so  $(D_{x,k+i-1,z} \setminus \{u_1\}) \cup \{u_j\}$  is not a dominating set for all  $j \ne 1$ . This implies that  $D_{x,k+i-1,z}$  is not adjacent to any set in D. Therefore, all  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k-1,q})$ -sets form a graph  $B_{k+1,k+q-1,k}$ .

#### 5. $\gamma$ -Paired Dominating Graphs of Umbrella Graphs and Coconut Graphs

Let p and q be positive integers. If q = 1, then  $U_{p,q} \cong P_{p+1} \cong C_{p,q}$ , and thus  $PD_{\gamma}(U_{p,q})$  and  $PD_{\gamma}(C_{p,q})$  can be obtained from Theorems 2.1 - 2.4. Let  $q \ge 2$ . If p = 4k + 2 for some  $k \ge 0$ , then it is easy to check that  $\{v_{4i+2}, v_{4i+3} : 0 \le i \le k-1\} \cup \{v_{4k+2}, u_1\}$  is the only  $\gamma_{pr}(U_{p,q})$ -set and the only  $\gamma_{pr}(C_{p,q})$ -set, so we get the following theorem immediately.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let  $k \ge 0$  and  $q \ge 2$  be integers. Then  $PD_{\gamma}(U_{4k+2,q}) \cong P_1 \cong PD_{\gamma}(C_{4k+2,q})$ .



Figure 13: The graph  $A_{q-1}$  formed by all  $D_x^{i,j}$ 's with  $1 \le i < j \le q$ 

**Lemma 5.1.** Let  $k \ge 0$  and  $q \ge 2$  be integers. Then each  $\gamma_{pr}(U_{4k+1,q})$ -set contains the vertex  $u_1$ .

*Proof.* If q = 2, then  $u_1$  is a support vertex of  $U_{4k+1,q}$ , so this lemma holds by Lemma 2.1. Let  $q \ge 3$  and suppose that there is a  $\gamma_{pr}(U_{4k+1,q})$ -set D such that  $u_1 \notin D$ . Then D must contain at least two vertices from  $\{u_2, u_3, \ldots, u_q\}$ . Recall that |D| = 2k + 2, so at most 2k vertices of D must dominate all vertices in  $P_{4k+1}$ , which is impossible.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let  $k \ge 0$  and  $q \ge 2$  be integers. Then  $PD_{\gamma}(U_{4k+1,q}) \cong L_{k,q} \cong PD_{\gamma}(C_{4k+1,q})$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.1,  $\gamma_{pr}(U_{4k+1,q}) = \gamma_{pr}(L_{4k+1,q}) = \gamma_{pr}(C_{4k+1,q})$ . Lemmas 2.1 and 5.1 imply that every  $\gamma_{pr}(C_{4k+1,q})$ -set and every  $\gamma_{pr}(U_{4k+1,q})$ -set contains either the pair  $\{v_{4k+1}, u_1\}$  or  $\{u_1, u_i\}$  where  $i \neq 1$ . We follow the steps in the proof of Theorem 4.2, so we are done.

Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer. If  $q \in \{2, 3\}$ , then  $U_{4k,q} \cong L_{4k,q}$ , and hence  $PD_{\gamma}(U_{4k,q}) \cong B_{k+1,k+q-1}$ by Theorem 4.3. Let  $q \geq 4$ . Note that every  $\gamma_{pr}(U_{4k,q})$ -set is a  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k,q})$ -set, but the converse need not be true for some  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k,q})$ -set that does not contain  $u_1$ . From the proof of Theorem 4.3, we know that each  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k,q})$ -set that does not contain  $u_1$  is  $D^{i,j} = D \cup \{u_i, u_j\}$ , where D is a  $\gamma_{pr}(P_{4k})$ -set and  $2 \leq i < j \leq q$ . Similarly, each  $\gamma_{pr}(U_{4k,q})$ -set that does not contain  $u_1$  is of the form  $D \cup \{u_i, u_j\}$  for some  $2 \leq i < j \leq q$ . For q = 4, we have  $D^{2,4}$  is a  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k,4})$ -set but not a  $\gamma_{pr}(U_{4k,4})$ -set, so  $PD_{\gamma}(U_{4k,4}) \cong PD_{\gamma}(L_{4k,4}) - \{D^{2,4}\}$ . For q = 5, only  $D^{3,4}$  is a  $\gamma_{pr}(U_{4k,5})$ -set among all  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k,5})$ -sets containing the pair  $\{u_i, u_j\}$  where  $2 \leq i < j \leq 5$ , and thus  $PD_{\gamma}(U_{4k,5}) \cong PD_{\gamma}(L_{4k,5}) - \{D^{2,3}, D^{2,4}, D^{2,5}, D^{3,5}, D^{4,5}\}$ .

**Corollary 5.1.** Let  $k \ge 1$  and  $q \ge 6$  be integers. Then  $PD_{\gamma}(U_{4k,q}) \cong A_{k+1,k+q-1}$ .

*Proof.* Recall that  $\gamma_{pr}(U_{4k,q}) = \gamma_{pr}(L_{4k,q})$ . Similar to Lemma 5.1, we can prove that each  $\gamma_{pr}(U_{4k,q})$ -set contains  $u_1$ , and then it contains either the pair  $\{v_{4k}, u_1\}$  or  $\{u_1, u_i\}$  where  $i \neq 1$ . Then we follow the first two paragraphs of the proof in Theorem 4.3.

By Lemma 2.1, each  $\gamma_{pr}(C_{4k,q})$ -set contains  $u_1$ . Again, we follow the first two paragraphs of the proof in Theorem 4.3, so we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 5.2.** Let  $k \ge 1$  and  $q \ge 2$  be integers. Then  $PD_{\gamma}(C_{4k,q}) \cong A_{k+1,k+q-1}$ .

Let  $k \ge 1$  be an integer. By Theorem 4.4, we get that  $PD_{\gamma}(U_{4k-1,q}) \cong PD_{\gamma}(L_{4k-1,q}) \cong B_{k+1,k+q-1,k}$  for  $q \in \{2,3\}$ . Let  $q \ge 4$ . In the proof of Theorem 4.4, we know  $D_1^{i,j}, D_2^{i,j}, \ldots, D_{k+1}^{i,j}$  are the only  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k-1,4})$ -sets containing the pair  $\{u_i, u_j\}$  where  $2 \le i < j \le q$ . Note that  $D_1^{2,4}, D_2^{2,4}, \ldots, D_{k+1}^{2,4}$  are not  $\gamma_{pr}(U_{4k-1,4})$ -sets, so  $PD_{\gamma}(U_{4k-1,4}) \cong PD_{\gamma}(L_{4k-1,4}) - \{D_x^{2,4} : 1 \le x \le k+1\}$ . Among all  $\gamma_{pr}(L_{4k-1,5})$ -sets containing the pair  $\{u_i, u_j\}$  for  $2 \le i < j \le 5$ , only  $D_1^{3,4}, D_2^{3,4}, \ldots, D_{k+1}^{3,4}$  are  $\gamma_{pr}(U_{4k-1,5})$ -sets, so we get that  $PD_{\gamma}(U_{4k-1,5}) \cong PD_{\gamma}(L_{4k-1,5}) - \{D_x^{2,3}, D_x^{2,4}, D_x^{2,5}, D_x^{3,5}, D_x^{4,5} : 1 \le x \le k+1\}$ .

We can easily check that  $\gamma_{pr}(U_{4k-1,q}) = \gamma_{pr}(L_{4k-1,q}) = \gamma_{pr}(C_{4k-1,q})$ , every  $\gamma_{pr}(U_{4k-1,q})$ -set contains  $u_1$  for  $q \ge 6$ , and every  $\gamma_{pr}(C_{4k-1,q})$ -set contains  $u_1$  for  $q \ge 2$ . We can obtain the following results by repeating the steps of proof in Theorem 4.4 (first paragraph).

**Corollary 5.3.** Let  $k \ge 1$  and  $q \ge 6$  be integers. Then  $PD_{\gamma}(U_{4k-1,q}) \cong A_{k+1,k+q-1,k}$ .

**Corollary 5.4.** Let  $k \ge 1$  and  $q \ge 2$  be integers. Then  $PD_{\gamma}(C_{4k-1,q}) \cong A_{k+1,k+q-1,k}$ .

#### Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the anonymous reviewers and editors for their valuable comments and suggestions. This research was funded by National Research Council of Thailand (NRCT) under the grant number N41A660292.

#### References

- S. Alikhani, D. Fatehi, and C.M. Mynhardt, On k-total dominating graphs, Australas. J. Combin. 73 (2) (2019), 313–333.
- [2] Z.N. Berberler and M.E. Berberler, Independent strong domination in complementary prisms, *Electron. J. Graph Theory Appl.* 8 (1) (2020), 1–8. http://dx.doi.org/10.5614/ejgta.2020.8.1.1
- [3] A. Bień, Gamma graphs of some special classes of trees, *Ann. Math. Sil.* **29** (2015), 25–34. https://doi.org/10.1515/amsil-2015-0003
- [4] E. Connelly, S.T. Hedetniemi, and K.R. Hutson, A note on γ-graphs, AKCE Int. J. Graphs Comb. 8 (1) (2010), 23–31. https://doi.org/10.1080/09728600.2011.12088928
- [5] A. Das, Connected domination value in graphs, *Electron. J. Graph Theory Appl.* **9** (1) (2021), 113–123. http://dx.doi.org/10.5614/ejgta.2021.9.1.11
- [6] P. Eakawinrujee and N. Trakultraipruk, γ-paired dominating graphs of paths, Int. J. Math. Comput. Sci. 17 (2) (2022), 739–752.
- [7] P. Eakawinrujee and N. Trakultraipruk, γ-paired dominating graphs of cycles, Opuscula Math. 42 (1) (2022), 31–54. https://doi.org/10.7494/OpMath.2022.42.1.31
- [8] D. Fatehi, S. Alikhani, and A.J.M. Khalaf, The k-independent graph of a graph, Adv. Appl. Discrete Math. 18 (1) (2017), 45–56. http://dx.doi.org/10.17654/DM018010045

- [9] G.H. Fricke, S.M. Hedetniemi, S.T. Hedetniemi, and K.R. Hutson, γ-graphs of graphs, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* **31** (2011), 517–531.
- [10] R. Haas and K. Seyffarth, The k-dominating graph, Graphs Combin. 30 (2014), 609–617. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00373-013-1302-3
- [11] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi, and P.J. Slater, *Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998.
- [12] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi, and P.J. Slater, *Domination in Graphs: Advanced Topics*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998.
- [13] T.W. Haynes and P.J. Slater, Paired-domination in graphs, *Networks* **32** (1998), 199–206.
- [14] F. Kazemnejad, B. Pahlavsay, E. Palezzato, and M. Torielli, Total domination number of middle graphs, *Electron. J. Graph Theory Appl.* **10** (1) (2022), 275–288. http://dx.doi.org/10.5614/ejgta.2022.10.1.19
- [15] S.A. Lakshmanan and A. Vijayakumar, The gamma graph of a graph, *AKCE Int. J. Graphs Comb.* 7 (2010), 53–59. https://doi.org/10.1080/09728600.2010.12088911
- [16] C.M. Mynhardt and L.E. Teshima, A note on some variations of the  $\gamma$ -graph, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput. **104** (2018), 217–230.
- [17] C.M. Mynhardt and A. Roux, Irredundance graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **322** (2022), 36–48. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2022.08.005
- [18] C.M. Mynhardt, A. Roux, and L.E. Teshima, Connected k-dominating graphs, *Discrete Math.* 342 (2019), 145–151. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2018.09.006
- [19] R. Samanmoo, N. Trakultraipruk, and N. Ananchuen, γ-independent dominating graphs of paths and cycles, *Maejo Int. J. Sci. Technol.* **13** (03) (2019), 245–256.
- [20] S. Sanguanpong and N. Trakultraipruk, γ-induced-paired dominating graphs of paths and cycles, *Discrete Math. Algorithms Appl.* 14 (08) (2022), 2250047. https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793830922500471
- [21] K. Subramanian and N. Sridharan,  $\gamma$ -graph of a graph, *Bull. Kerala Math. Assoc.* **5**(1) (2008), 17–34.
- [22] D.B. West, *Introduction to Graph Theory* (Second Edition), Prentice-Hall, Inc., Upper Saddle River, 2001.
- [23] A. Wongsriya and N. Trakultraipruk, γ-Total dominating graphs of paths and cycles, *ScienceAsia* 43 (2017), 326–333. https://doi.org/10.2306/scienceasia1513-1874.2017.43.326