

Electronic Journal of Graph Theory and Applications

Perfect 2-colorings of the generalized Petersen graph GP(n,3)

Hamed Karami

School of Mathematics, Iran University of Science and Technology, Narmak, Tehran 16846, Iran

hkarami@alum.sharif.edu

Abstract

In this paper we enumerate the parameter matrices of all perfect 2-colorings of the generalized Petersen graphs GP(n, 3), where $n \ge 7$. We also give some basic results for GP(n, k).

Keywords: perfect coloring, equitable partition, generalized Petersen graphs Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C15 DOI: 10.5614/ejgta.2022.10.1.16

1. Introduction

The theory of error-correcting codes has always been a popular subject in group theory, combinatorial configuration, covering problems and even diophantine number theory. So, mathematicians always show a lot of interest in this historical research field. The problem of finding all perfect codes was begun by M. Golay in 1949. Perfect code is originally a topic in the theory of error-correcting codes. All perfect codes are known to be completely regular, which were introduces by Delsarte in 1973. A set of vertices, say C, of a simple graph is called completely regular code with covering radius ρ , if the distance partition of the vertex set with respect C is equitable. Therefore, the problem of existence of equitable partitions in graph is of great importance in graph theory. There is another term for this concept in the literature as "perfect *m*-coloring".

As explained above, enumerating parameter matrices in graphs is a key problem to find perfect

Received: 1 November 2020, Revised: 16 October 2021, Accepted: 13 February 2022.

codes in graphs. For example, by the results of this paper, we can easily conclude that the graph GP(9,3) has just two nontrivial completely regular codes with the size of 9, which neither of them is perfect. There has always been a notably interest in enumerating parameter matrices of some popular families of graphs "johnson graphs", "hypercube graphs" and recently "generalized petersen graphs" (see [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9]).

In this article, all parameter matrices of GP(n, 3) are enumerated.

2. Definition and Concepts

In this section, some basic definitions and concepts are given.

Definition 2.1. The generalized petersen graph GP(n,k), also denoted P(n,k), for $n \ge 3$ and $1 \le k < \frac{n}{2}$, is a connected cubic graph that has vertices, respectively, edges given by

$$V(GP(n,k)) = \{a_i, b_i : 0 \le i \le n-1\},\$$

$$E(GP(n,k)) = \{a_i a_{i+1}, a_i b_i, b_i b_{i+k} : 0 \le i \le n-1\},\$$

These graphs were introduced by Coxeter (1950) and named by Watkins (1969). GP(n,k) is isomorphic to GP(n, n - k). It is why we consider $k < \frac{n}{2}$, with no restriction of generality.

Definition 2.2. For a graph G and an integer m, we call a mapping $T : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, ..., m\}$ a perfect m-coloring with matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j \in \{1,...,m\}}$, if it is surjective, and for all i, j, for every vertex of color i, the number of its neighbors of color j is equal to a_{ij} . We call the matrix A the parameter matrix of a perfect coloring. In the case m = 2, the first color is called white, and the second color black.

Remark 2.1. In this paper, we consider all perfect 2-colorings, up to renaming the colors; i.e, we identify the perfect 2-coloring with the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{22} & a_{21} \\ a_{12} & a_{11} \end{bmatrix},$$

obtained by switching the colors with the original coloring.

3. The Existence of Perfect 2-Colorings of GP(n, 3)

In this section, we first give some results covering necessary conditions for the existence of perfect 2-colorings of GP(n,k) graphs with a given parameter matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1,2}$, and then we enumerate the parameters of all perfect 2-colorings of GP(n,3).

The first and perhaps the simplest necessary condition for the existence of a perfect 2-colorings of CP(a, k) with the metrix $\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \end{bmatrix}$ is

GP(n,k) with the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}$ is

$$a_{11} + a_{12} = a_{21} + a_{22} = 3$$

Also, it is clear that neither a_{12} nor a_{21} cannot be equal to zero, otherwise white and black vertices of GP(n, k) would not be adjacent, which is impossible, as the graph is connected.

By the presented conditions, a parameter matrix of a perfect 2-coloring of GP(n, k) must be one of the following matrices:

$$A_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, A_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, A_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, A_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, A_{5} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, A_{6} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The next proposition provides a formula for calculating the number of white vertices in a perfect 2-coloring (see [4]).

Proposition 3.1. If W is the set of white vertices in a perfect 2-coloring of a graph G with matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1,2}$, then

$$|W| = |V(G)| \frac{a_{21}}{a_{12} + a_{21}}.$$

Now, we are ready to enumerate the parameter matrices of all perfect 2-colorings of GP(n, 3). In [1], the parameter matrices of all perfect 2-colorings of GP(n, k) with the matrices of A_1 and A_6 are enumerated. So, we just present theorems in order to enumerate parameter matrices corresponding to perfect 2-colorings of GP(n, 3) with the matrices A_2 , A_3 , A_4 , and A_5 .

Perfect 2-colorings of GP(n, 3) *with the matrix* A_2 *:*

In this part, we show that the graphs GP(n, 3) have no perfect 2-colorings with the matrix A_2 .

Theorem 3.1. The graphs GP(n,3) have no perfect 2-colorings with the matrix A_2 .

Proof. At first, we claim that for each perfect 2-coloring, say T, of GP(n,3) with the matrix A_2 , there are no consecutive vertices a_i and a_{i+1} , such that $T(a_i) = T(a_{i+1}) = 2$. To prove it, suppose contrary to our claim, without loss of generality, there is a perfect 2-coloring, say T, of GP(n,3) with the matrix A_2 , such that $T(a_1) = T(a_2) = 2$. It imeadiately gives $T(b_1) = T(b_2) = T(a_0) = T(a_3) = 1$ and then $T(b_0) = T(b_3) = T(a_4) = T(b_4) = T(b_5) = 1$. Now, from $T(a_3) = T(a_4) = T(b_4) = 1$, we have $T(a_5) = 2$. Next, from $T(a_4) = T(b_5) = 1$ and $T(a_5) = 2$, we get $T(a_6) = 2$. It gives $T(b_6) = 1$ which is a contradiction with $T(a_3) = T(b_3) = T(b_0) = 1$. Now, to prove the theorem, suppose the assertion is false. Therefore, there is a perfect 2-coloring, say T, of GP(n,3) with the matrix A_2 . By symmetry, with no loss of generality, we can assume $T(a_0) = T(b_0) = 1$ and $T(a_1) = 2$. By the above claim, we have $T(a_2) = 1$. Now, from $T(a_0) = T(b_0) = 1$ and $T(a_1) = 2$, it follows that $T(b_1) = 2$. This immediately gives $T(b_2) = T(a_3) = T(b_4) = 1$. Next, by $T(b_4) = 1$ and $T(b_1) = 2$, we get $T(a_6) = 1$ and then $T(b_6) = 1$, which is a contradiction with $T(a_3) = T(a_6) = 1$.

Perfect 2-colorings of GP(n, 3) *with the matrix* A_3 *:*

We will show that the graphs GP(2m, 3) have a perfect coloring with the matrix A_3 and the graphs GP(2m + 1, 3) have no perfect 2-colorings with the matrix A_3 .

Theorem 3.2. All of the graphs GP(n,3), where n is even, have a perfect 2-coloring with the matrix A_3 . Also, there are no perfect 2-colorings of GP(n,3), where n is odd, with the matrix A_3 .

Proof. To prove the first part, consider the mapping $T: V(GP(2m, 3)) \rightarrow \{1, 2\}$ by

$$T(a_{2i}) = T(b_{2i}) = 1,$$

$$T(a_{2i+1}) = T(b_{2i+1}) = 2$$

for $i \ge 0$. It can be easily seen that the given mapping is a perfect 2-coloring of GP(2m, 3) with the matrix A_3 .

To prove the second part, contrary to our claim, suppose there is a perfect 2-coloring, say T, of GP(n, 3), where n is odd, with the matrix A_3 . Now, we use lemma in ([1], Lemma 3.4).

Lemma 3.1. [1] For each perfect 2-coloring T of GP(n, k), where k is a positive even integer or $4 \nmid n$, with the matrix A_3 , there are two vertices a_i and b_i , for some $0 \le i \le n - 1$, such that $T(a_i) = T(b_i)$.

By above Lemma, with no loss of generality, we can assume $T(a_0) = T(b_0) = 1$. By knowing that the given mapping in the first part is not a perfect 2-coloring with the matrix A_3 , where n is odd, we should have two cases below.

Case 1. For some positive integer i, $T(a_i) = T(b_i) = T(b_{i+1}) = 1$ and $T(a_{i+1}) = 2$. It immediately gives $T(a_{i+2}) = 2$ and $T(a_{i+3}) = T(b_{i+2}) = 1$. From $T(a_{i+2}) = T(b_i) = 1$, we deduce that $T(b_{i+3}) = 2$ and then $T(a_{i+4}) = 1$. Next, from $T(b_{i+1}) = T(a_{i+4}) = 1$, we have $T(b_{i+4}) = T(a_{i+5}) = 2$. Now, from $T(b_i) = T(a_{i+3}) = 1$, and $T(b_{i+3}) = 2$, it follows that $T(b_{i+6}) = 2$, and then from $T(a_{i+5}) = 2$, we get $T(a_{i+6}) = 1$ and $T(b_{i+5}) = 2$. Using this argument, for $j \ge 0$, we have

$$T(a_{10j+i}) = T(b_{10j+i}) = T(b_{10j+i+1}) = T(b_{10j+i+2}) = T(a_{10j+i+3}) = T(a_{10j+i+4}) = T(a_{10j+i+6}) = T(a_{10j+i+7}) = T(b_{10j+i+8}) = T(b_{10j+i+9}) = 1.$$

and

$$T(a_{10j+i+1}) = T(a_{10j+i+2}) = T(b_{10j+i+3}) = T(b_{10j+i+4}) = T(a_{10j+i+5}) = T(b_{10j+i+5}) = T(b_{10j+i+6}) = T(b_{10j+i+6}) = T(b_{10j+i+7}) = T(a_{10j+i+8}) = T(a_{10j+i+9}) = 2.$$

It gives n = 10m which contradicts n is odd.

Case 2. For some positive integer i, $T(a_i) = T(b_i) = T(a_{i+2}) = 1$ and $T(a_{i+1}) = T(b_{i+1}) = T(b_{i+2}) = 2$. It immediately gives $T(a_{i+3}) = 1$ and then $T(a_{i+4}) = T(b_{i+3}) = 2$. From $T(a_{i+4}) = T(b_{i+1}) = 2$, we have $T(b_{i+4}) = 1$ and then we deduce that $T(a_{i+5}) = 2$ and $T(b_{i+5}) = T(a_{i+6}) = 1$. From $T(a_{i+3}) = T(b_i) = 1$ and $T(b_{i+3}) = 2$, we get $T(b_{i+6}) = 2$. Now, from $T(a_{i+5}) = T(b_{i+6}) = 2$ and $T(a_{i+6}) = 1$, we have $T(a_{i+7}) = 1$ and then $T(b_{i+7}) = 2$ which is a contradiction of $T(b_{i+4}) = 1$ and $T(a_{i+4}) = T(b_{i+1}) = T(b_{i+7}) = 2$.

Perfect 2-colorings of GP(n, 3) *with the matrix* A_4 *:*

We show that just the graphs GP(4m, 3) among the graphs GP(n, 3) have a perfect 2-coloring with the matrix A_4 .

Theorem 3.3. All the graphs GP(n, 3), where $4 \mid n$, have a perfect 2-coloring with the matrix A_4 . Also, there are no perfect 2-coloring of GP(n, 3), where $4 \nmid n$, with this matrix.

Proof. For the first part, consider the mapping $T: V(GP(4m, 3)) \rightarrow \{1, 2\}$ by

$$T(a_{4i}) = T(b_{4i+2}) = 1,$$

$$T(b_{4i}) = T(a_{4i+1}) = T(b_{4i+1}) = T(a_{4i+2}) = T(a_{4i+3}) = T(b_{4i+3}) = 2.$$

for $i \ge 0$. It can be easily checked that the given mapping is a perfect 2-coloring with the matrix A_4 .

To prove the second part, contrary to our claim, suppose that there is a perfect 2-coloring of GP(n,3) with the matrix A_4 , say T. with no restriction of generality, let $T(a_0) = 1$. It follows that $T(a_1) = T(b_0) = T(a_{n-1}) = T(b_{n-1}) = 2$. From $T(a_1) = 2$ and $T(a_0) = 1$ we get $T(b_1) = T(a_2) = 2$. Now, we should have two cases below.

Case 1. $T(b_2) = 1$. It immediately gives

$$T(a_{4i}) = T(b_{4i+2}) = 1,$$

$$T(b_{4i}) = T(a_{4i+1}) = T(b_{4i+1}) = T(a_{4i+2}) = T(a_{4i+3}) = T(b_{4i+3}) = 2.$$

for $i \ge 0$. It clearly gives n = 4m which is a contradiction of $4 \nmid n$.

Case 2. $T(b_2) = 2$. It immediately gives $T(a_3) = 1$ and $T(b_3) = T(a_4) = 2$. From $T(a_4) = 2$ and $T(a_3) = 1$, we get $T(b_4) = T(a_5) = 2$. Then, from $T(a_2) = T(b_2) = T(b_{n-1}) = 2$, we have $T(b_5) = 1$. So, we immidiately conclude that $T(a_6) = 2$. Now, from $T(b_0) = T(b_3) = 2$ and $T(a_3) = 1$, we have $T(b_6) = 2$. It gives $T(a_7) = 1$ and then $T(b_7) = 2$ which is a contradiction of $T(b_0) = T(b_4) = T(a_4) = 2$.

Perfect 2-colorings of GP(n, 3) *with the matrix* A_5

Here, we show that just the graphs GP(5m, 3), where $m \in \mathbb{N}$, among the graphs GP(n, 3) have a perfect 2-coloring with the matrix A_5 .

Theorem 3.4. The graphs GP(5m, 5t + 2) and GP(5m, 5t + 3), where $t \ge 0$, have a perfect 2-coloring with the matrix A_5 . GP(n, k) graphs for n such that $5 \nmid n$, have no perfect colorings with the matrix A_5 .

Proof. For the first part, consider the mapping $T: V(GP(5m, 5t+2)) \rightarrow \{1, 2\}$ by

$$T(a_{5i}) = T(a_{5i+2}) = T(a_{5i+3}) = T(b_{5i}) = T(b_{5i+1}) = T(b_{5i+4}) = 2,$$

$$T(a_{5i+1}) = T(a_{5i+4}) = T(b_{5i+2}) = T(b_{5i+3}) = 1,$$

for $i \ge 0$. It can be easily checked that the given mapping gives a perfect 2-coloring with the matrix A_5 . The mapping $T: V(GP(5m, 5t+3)) \rightarrow \{1, 2\}$ by the exactly above definition is also a perfect 2-coloring with the matrix A_5 . Moreover, the second part can be proved by Proposition 3.1.

Remark 3.1. There is no information for the cases GP(5m, 5t), GP(5m, 5t + 1) and GP(5m, 5t + 4) in Theorem 3.4. So, we leave these cases as an open problem.

Finally, we summerize the obtained results from enumerating the parameter matrices of GP(n, k) in the following table.

Table 1. Editorialing the parameter matrices of $GT(n, \kappa)$			
	GP(n,2)	GP(n,3)	GP(n,k)
A_1	all graphs	all graphs	all graphs
A_2	just $GP(3m, 2)$	no graphs	?
A_3	no graphs	just $GP(2m,3)$?
A_4	no graphs	just $GP(4m,3)$?
A_5	just $GP(5m, 2)$	just $GP(5m,3)$?
A_6	no graphs	just $GP(2m,3)$	just $GP(2m, 2t+1)$

Table 1. Eumerating the parameter matrices of GP(n, k)

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank Mehdi Alaeiyan for his help to enumerating parameter matrices of perfect 2-colorings of GP(n, 2) graphs in which we obtained some results that are useful for this paper too. He is also thankful to Yazdan Golzadeh for giving comment on the second part of Theorem 3.3.

References

- A. Mehdi and K. Hamed, Perfect 2-colorings of generalized Petersen graphs, *Proc. Indian* Acad. Sci. 126 (3) (2016), 289–294.
- [2] A. Mehdi, K. Hamed, and S. Sajjad, Perfect 3-colorings of GP(5,2), GP(6,2), and GP(7,2), Journal of the Indonesian Mathematical Society **24** (2) 2018, 47–53.
- [3] A.M. Hadi and K. Hamed, Perfect 2-colorings of Platonic graphs, Proc. Iranian Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Application 8 (2) (2017), 29–35.
- [4] S.V. Avgustinovich and I. Yu. Mogilnykh, Perfect 2-colorings of Johnson graphs J(6,3) and J(7,3), Lecture Notes in Computer Science **5228** (2008), 11–19.
- [5] S.V. Avgustinovich and I. Yu. Mogilnykh, Perfect colorings of the Johnson graphs J(8,3) and J(8,4) with two colors, *Journal of Applied and Industrial Mathematics* **5** (2011), 19–30.
- [6] D.G. Fon-Der-Flaass, A bound on correlation immunity, *Siberian Electronic Mathematical Reports Journal* **4** (2007), 133–135.
- [7] D.G. Fon-Der-Flaass, Perfect 2-colorings of a hypercube, *Siberian Mathematical Journal*, 4 (2007), 923–930.

- [8] D.G. Fon-der-Flaass, Perfect 2-colorings of a 12-dimensional Cube that achieve a bound of correlation immunity, *Siberian Mathematical Journal* **4** (2007), 292–295.
- [9] A.L. Gavrilyuk and S.V. Goryainov, On perfect 2-colorings of Johnson graphs J(v, 3), Journal of Combinatorial Designs **21** (2013), 232–252.
- [10] C. Godsil, Compact graphs and equitable partitions, *Linear Algebra and Its Application* 255 (1997), 259–266