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#### Abstract

In this paper we enumerate the parameter matrices of all perfect 2-colorings of the generalized Petersen graphs $G P(n, 3)$, where $n \geq 7$. We also give some basic results for $G P(n, k)$.
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## 1. Introduction

The theory of error-correcting codes has always been a popular subject in group theory, combinatorial configuration, covering problems and even diophantine number theory. So, mathematicians always show a lot of interest in this historical research field. The problem of finding all perfect codes was begun by M. Golay in 1949. Perfect code is originally a topic in the theory of error-correcting codes. All perfect codes are known to be completely regular, which were introduces by Delsarte in 1973. A set of vertices, say C, of a simple graph is called completely regular code with covering radius $\rho$, if the distance partition of the vertex set with respect C is equitable. Therefore, the problem of existence of equitable partitions in graph is of great importance in graph theory. There is another term for this concept in the literature as "perfect $m$-coloring".
As explained above, enumerating parameter matrices in graphs is a key problem to find perfect
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codes in graphs. For example, by the results of this paper, we can easily conclude that the graph $G P(9,3)$ has just two nontrivial completely regular codes with the size of 9 , which neither of them is perfect. There has always been a notably interest in enumerating parameter matrices of some popular families of graphs "johnson graphs", "hypercube graphs" and recently "generalized petersen graphs" (see [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9]).

In this article, all parameter matrices of $G P(n, 3)$ are enumerated.

## 2. Definition and Concepts

In this section, some basic definitions and concepts are given.
Definition 2.1. The generalized petersen graph $G P(n, k)$, also denoted $P(n, k)$, for $n \geq 3$ and $1 \leq k<\frac{n}{2}$, is a connected cubic graph that has vertices, respectively, edges given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V(G P(n, k))=\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}: 0 \leq i \leq n-1\right\}, \\
& E(G P(n, k))=\left\{a_{i} a_{i+1}, a_{i} b_{i}, b_{i} b_{i+k}: 0 \leq i \leq n-1\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

These graphs were introduced by Coxeter (1950) and named by Watkins (1969). $G P(n, k)$ is isomorphic to $G P(n, n-k)$. It is why we consider $k<\frac{n}{2}$, with no restriction of generality.

Definition 2.2. For a graph $G$ and an integer $m$, we call a mapping $T: V(G) \rightarrow\{1, \ldots, m\} a$ perfect m-coloring with matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{i, j \in\{1, \ldots, m\}}$, if it is surjective, and for all $i, j$, for every vertex of color $i$, the number of its neighbors of color $j$ is equal to $a_{i j}$. We call the matrix $A$ the parameter matrix of a perfect coloring. In the case $m=2$, the first color is called white, and the second color black.

Remark 2.1. In this paper, we consider all perfect 2-colorings, up to renaming the colors; i.e, we identify the perfect 2 -coloring with the matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{22} & a_{21} \\
a_{12} & a_{11}
\end{array}\right],
$$

obtained by switching the colors with the original coloring.

## 3. The Existence of Perfect 2-Colorings of $\operatorname{GP}(n, 3)$

In this section, we first give some results covering necessary conditions for the existence of perfect 2-colorings of $G P(n, k)$ graphs with a given parameter matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1,2}$, and then we enumerate the parameters of all perfect 2-colorings of $G P(n, 3)$.
The first and perhaps the simplest necessary condition for the existence of a perfect 2-colorings of $G P(n, k)$ with the matrix $\left[\begin{array}{ll}a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22}\end{array}\right]$ is

$$
a_{11}+a_{12}=a_{21}+a_{22}=3 .
$$

Also, it is clear that neither $a_{12}$ nor $a_{21}$ cannot be equal to zero, otherwise white and black vertices of $G P(n, k)$ would not be adjacent, which is impossible, as the graph is connected.
By the presented conditions, a parameter matrix of a perfect 2-coloring of $G P(n, k)$ must be one of the following matrices:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 1 \\
1 & 2
\end{array}\right], A_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 1 \\
2 & 1
\end{array}\right], A_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \\
2 & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
& A_{4}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 3 \\
1 & 2
\end{array}\right], A_{5}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 3 \\
2 & 1
\end{array}\right], A_{6}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 3 \\
3 & 0
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The next proposition provides a formula for calculating the number of white vertices in a perfect 2-coloring (see [4]).

Proposition 3.1. If $W$ is the set of white vertices in a perfect 2 -coloring of a graph $G$ with matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1,2}$, then

$$
|W|=|V(G)| \frac{a_{21}}{a_{12}+a_{21}}
$$

Now, we are ready to enumerate the parameter matrices of all perfect 2-colorings of $G P(n, 3)$. In [1], the parameter matrices of all perfect 2-colorings of $G P(n, k)$ with the matrices of $A_{1}$ and $A_{6}$ are enumerated. So, we just present theorems in order to enumerate parameter matrices corresponding to perfect 2-colorings of $G P(n, 3)$ with the matrices $A_{2}, A_{3}, A_{4}$, and $A_{5}$.

Perfect 2-colorings of $G P(n, 3)$ with the matrix $A_{2}$ :
In this part, we show that the graphs $\operatorname{GP}(n, 3)$ have no perfect 2-colorings with the matrix $A_{2}$.
Theorem 3.1. The graphs $G P(n, 3)$ have no perfect 2 -colorings with the matrix $A_{2}$.
Proof. At first, we claim that for each perfect 2-coloring, say $T$, of $\operatorname{GP}(n, 3)$ with the matrix $A_{2}$, there are no consecutive vertices $a_{i}$ and $a_{i+1}$, such that $T\left(a_{i}\right)=T\left(a_{i+1}\right)=2$. To prove it, suppose contrary to our claim, without loss of generality, there is a perfect 2-coloring, say $T$, of $G P(n, 3)$ with the matrix $A_{2}$, such that $T\left(a_{1}\right)=T\left(a_{2}\right)=2$. It imeadiately gives $T\left(b_{1}\right)=T\left(b_{2}\right)=$ $T\left(a_{0}\right)=T\left(a_{3}\right)=1$ and then $T\left(b_{0}\right)=T\left(b_{3}\right)=T\left(a_{4}\right)=T\left(b_{4}\right)=T\left(b_{5}\right)=1$. Now, from $T\left(a_{3}\right)=T\left(a_{4}\right)=T\left(b_{4}\right)=1$, we have $T\left(a_{5}\right)=2$. Next, from $T\left(a_{4}\right)=T\left(b_{5}\right)=1$ and $T\left(a_{5}\right)=2$, we get $T\left(a_{6}\right)=2$. It gives $T\left(b_{6}\right)=1$ which is a contradiction with $T\left(a_{3}\right)=T\left(b_{3}\right)=T\left(b_{0}\right)=1$.
Now, to prove the theorem, suppose the assertion is false. Therefore, there is a perfect 2-coloring, say $T$, of $G P(n, 3)$ with the matrix $A_{2}$. By symmetry, with no loss of generality, we can assume $T\left(a_{0}\right)=T\left(b_{0}\right)=1$ and $T\left(a_{1}\right)=2$. By the above claim, we have $T\left(a_{2}\right)=1$. Now, from $T\left(a_{0}\right)=T\left(a_{2}\right)=1$ and $T\left(a_{1}\right)=2$, it follows that $T\left(b_{1}\right)=2$. This immediately gives $T\left(b_{2}\right)=$ $T\left(a_{3}\right)=T\left(b_{4}\right)=1$. Next, by $T\left(b_{4}\right)=1$ and $T\left(b_{1}\right)=2$, we get $T\left(a_{4}\right)=1$ and, in consequence, $T\left(b_{3}\right)=T\left(a_{5}\right)=2$. Again, by using the above claim, we get $T\left(a_{6}\right)=1$ and then $T\left(b_{6}\right)=1$, which is a contradiction with $T\left(a_{3}\right)=T\left(b_{0}\right)=1$ and $T\left(b_{3}\right)=2$.

Perfect 2-colorings of $G P(n, 3)$ with the matrix $A_{3}$ :
We will show that the graphs $\operatorname{GP}(2 m, 3)$ have a perfect coloring with the matrix $A_{3}$ and the graphs $G P(2 m+1,3)$ have no perfect 2-colorings with the matrix $A_{3}$.

Theorem 3.2. All of the graphs $G P(n, 3)$, where $n$ is even, have a perfect 2 -coloring with the matrix $A_{3}$. Also, there are no perfect 2-colorings of $G P(n, 3)$, where $n$ is odd, with the matrix $A_{3}$.

Proof. To prove the first part, consider the mapping $T: \operatorname{V}(G P(2 m, 3)) \rightarrow\{1,2\}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T\left(a_{2 i}\right)=T\left(b_{2 i}\right)=1, \\
& T\left(a_{2 i+1}\right)=T\left(b_{2 i+1}\right)=2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

for $i \geq 0$. It can be easily seen that the given mapping is a perfect 2-coloring of $G P(2 m, 3)$ with the matrix $A_{3}$.
To prove the second part, contrary to our claim, suppose there is a perfect 2-coloring, say $T$, of $G P(n, 3)$, where $n$ is odd, with the matrix $A_{3}$. Now, we use lemma in ([1], Lemma 3.4).
Lemma 3.1. [1] For each perfect 2-coloring $T$ of $G P(n, k)$, where $k$ is a positive even integer or $4 \nmid n$, with the matrix $A_{3}$, there are two vertices $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$, for some $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, such that $T\left(a_{i}\right)=T\left(b_{i}\right)$.

By above Lemma, with no loss of generality, we can assume $T\left(a_{0}\right)=T\left(b_{0}\right)=1$. By knowing that the given mapping in the first part is not a perfect 2-coloring with the matrix $A_{3}$, where $n$ is odd, we should have two cases below.

Case 1. For some positive integer $i, T\left(a_{i}\right)=T\left(b_{i}\right)=T\left(b_{i+1}\right)=1$ and $T\left(a_{i+1}\right)=2$. It immediately gives $T\left(a_{i+2}\right)=2$ and $T\left(a_{i+3}\right)=T\left(b_{i+2}\right)=1$. From $T\left(a_{i+2}\right)=T\left(b_{i}\right)=1$, we deduce that $T\left(b_{i+3}\right)=2$ and then $T\left(a_{i+4}\right)=1$. Next, from $T\left(b_{i+1}\right)=T\left(a_{i+4}\right)=1$, we have $T\left(b_{i+4}\right)=T\left(a_{i+5}\right)=2$. Now, from $T\left(b_{i}\right)=T\left(a_{i+3}\right)=1$, and $T\left(b_{i+3}\right)=2$, it follows that $T\left(b_{i+6}\right)=2$, and then from $T\left(a_{i+5}\right)=2$, we get $T\left(a_{i+6}\right)=1$ and $T\left(b_{i+5}\right)=2$. Using this argument, for $j \geq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
T\left(a_{10 j+i}\right)=T\left(b_{10 j+i}\right)=T\left(b_{10 j+i+1}\right)=T\left(b_{10 j+i+2}\right)=T\left(a_{10 j+i+3}\right)=T\left(a_{10 j+i+4}\right)= \\
T\left(a_{10 j+i+6}\right)=T\left(a_{10 j+i+7}\right)=T\left(b_{10 j+i+8}\right)=T\left(b_{10 j+i+9}\right)=1
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T\left(a_{10 j+i+1}\right)=T\left(a_{10 j+i+2}\right)=T\left(b_{10 j+i+3}\right)=T\left(b_{10 j+i+4}\right)=T\left(a_{10 j+i+5}\right)=T\left(b_{10 j+i+5}\right)= \\
& T\left(b_{10 j+i+6}\right)=T\left(b_{10 j+i+7}\right)=T\left(a_{10 j+i+8}\right)=T\left(a_{10 j+i+9}\right)=2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

It gives $n=10 m$ which contradicts $n$ is odd.
Case 2. For some positive integer $i, T\left(a_{i}\right)=T\left(b_{i}\right)=T\left(a_{i+2}\right)=1$ and $T\left(a_{i+1}\right)=T\left(b_{i+1}\right)=$ $T\left(b_{i+2}\right)=2$. It immediately gives $T\left(a_{i+3}\right)=1$ and then $T\left(a_{i+4}\right)=T\left(b_{i+3}\right)=2$. From $T\left(a_{i+4}\right)=$ $T\left(b_{i+1}\right)=2$, we have $T\left(b_{i+4}\right)=1$ and then we deduce that $T\left(a_{i+5}\right)=2$ and $T\left(b_{i+5}\right)=T\left(a_{i+6}\right)=$ 1. From $T\left(a_{i+3}\right)=T\left(b_{i}\right)=1$ and $T\left(b_{i+3}\right)=2$, we get $T\left(b_{i+6}\right)=2$. Now, from $T\left(a_{i+5}\right)=$ $T\left(b_{i+6}\right)=2$ and $T\left(a_{i+6}\right)=1$, we have $T\left(a_{i+7}\right)=1$ and then $T\left(b_{i+7}\right)=2$ which is a contradiction of $T\left(b_{i+4}\right)=1$ and $T\left(a_{i+4}\right)=T\left(b_{i+1}\right)=T\left(b_{i+7}\right)=2$.

Perfect 2-colorings of $\operatorname{GP}(n, 3)$ with the matrix $A_{4}$ :
We show that just the graphs $G P(4 m, 3)$ among the graphs $G P(n, 3)$ have a perfect 2-coloring with the matrix $A_{4}$.

Theorem 3.3. All the graphs $G P(n, 3)$, where $4 \mid n$, have a perfect 2 -coloring with the matrix $A_{4}$. Also, there are no perfect 2-coloring of $G P(n, 3)$, where $4 \nmid n$, with this matrix.

Proof. For the first part, consider the mapping $T: V(G P(4 m, 3)) \rightarrow\{1,2\}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T\left(a_{4 i}\right)=T\left(b_{4 i+2}\right)=1 \\
& T\left(b_{4 i}\right)=T\left(a_{4 i+1}\right)=T\left(b_{4 i+1}\right)=T\left(a_{4 i+2}\right)=T\left(a_{4 i+3}\right)=T\left(b_{4 i+3}\right)=2
\end{aligned}
$$

for $i \geq 0$. It can be easily checked that the given mapping is a perfect 2 -coloring with the matrix $A_{4}$.
To prove the second part, contrary to our claim, suppose that there is a perfect 2-coloring of $G P(n, 3)$ with the matrix $A_{4}$, say $T$. with no restriction of generality, let $T\left(a_{0}\right)=1$. It follows that $T\left(a_{1}\right)=T\left(b_{0}\right)=T\left(a_{n-1}\right)=T\left(b_{n-1}\right)=2$. From $T\left(a_{1}\right)=2$ and $T\left(a_{0}\right)=1$ we get $T\left(b_{1}\right)=T\left(a_{2}\right)=2$. Now, we should have two cases below.

Case 1. $T\left(b_{2}\right)=1$. It immediately gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T\left(a_{4 i}\right)=T\left(b_{4 i+2}\right)=1 \\
& T\left(b_{4 i}\right)=T\left(a_{4 i+1}\right)=T\left(b_{4 i+1}\right)=T\left(a_{4 i+2}\right)=T\left(a_{4 i+3}\right)=T\left(b_{4 i+3}\right)=2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

for $i \geq 0$. It clearly gives $n=4 m$ which is a contradiction of $4 \nmid n$.
Case 2. $T\left(b_{2}\right)=2$. It immediately gives $T\left(a_{3}\right)=1$ and $T\left(b_{3}\right)=T\left(a_{4}\right)=2$. From $T\left(a_{4}\right)=2$ and $T\left(a_{3}\right)=1$, we get $T\left(b_{4}\right)=T\left(a_{5}\right)=2$. Then, from $T\left(a_{2}\right)=T\left(b_{2}\right)=T\left(b_{n-1}\right)=2$, we have $T\left(b_{5}\right)=1$. So, we immidiately conclude that $T\left(a_{6}\right)=2$. Now, from $T\left(b_{0}\right)=T\left(b_{3}\right)=2$ and $T\left(a_{3}\right)=1$, we have $T\left(b_{6}\right)=2$. It gives $T\left(a_{7}\right)=1$ and then $T\left(b_{7}\right)=2$ which is a contradiction of $T\left(b_{0}\right)=T\left(b_{4}\right)=T\left(a_{4}\right)=2$.

Perfect 2-colorings of $G P(n, 3)$ with the matrix $A_{5}$
Here, we show that just the graphs $G P(5 m, 3)$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$, among the graphs $G P(n, 3)$ have a perfect 2-coloring with the matrix $A_{5}$.

Theorem 3.4. The graphs $G P(5 m, 5 t+2)$ and $G P(5 m, 5 t+3)$, where $t \geq 0$, have a perfect 2-coloring with the matrix $A_{5} . G P(n, k)$ graphs for $n$ such that $5 \nmid n$, have no perfect colorings with the matrix $A_{5}$.

Proof. For the first part, consider the mapping $T: V(G P(5 m, 5 t+2)) \rightarrow\{1,2\}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T\left(a_{5 i}\right)=T\left(a_{5 i+2}\right)=T\left(a_{5 i+3}\right)=T\left(b_{5 i}\right)=T\left(b_{5 i+1}\right)=T\left(b_{5 i+4}\right)=2 \\
& T\left(a_{5 i+1}\right)=T\left(a_{5 i+4}\right)=T\left(b_{5 i+2}\right)=T\left(b_{5 i+3}\right)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

for $i \geq 0$. It can be easily checked that the given mapping gives a perfect 2 -coloring with the matrix $A_{5}$. The mapping $T: V(G P(5 m, 5 t+3)) \rightarrow\{1,2\}$ by the exactly above definition is also a perfect 2-coloring with the matrix $A_{5}$. Moreover, the second part can be proved by Proposition 3.1.

Remark 3.1. There is no information for the cases $G P(5 m, 5 t), G P(5 m, 5 t+1)$ and $G P(5 m, 5 t+4)$ in Theorem 3.4. So, we leave these cases as an open problem.

Finally, we summerize the obtained results from enumerating the parameter matrices of $G P(n, k)$ in the following table.

Table 1. Eumerating the parameter matrices of $G P(n, k)$

|  | $G P(n, 2)$ | $G P(n, 3)$ | $G P(n, k)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A_{1}$ | all graphs | all graphs | all graphs |
| $A_{2}$ | just $G P(3 m, 2)$ | no graphs | $?$ |
| $A_{3}$ | no graphs | just $G P(2 m, 3)$ | $?$ |
| $A_{4}$ | no graphs | just $G P(4 m, 3)$ | $?$ |
| $A_{5}$ | just $G P(5 m, 2)$ | just $G P(5 m, 3)$ | $?$ |
| $A_{6}$ | no graphs | just $G P(2 m, 3)$ | just $G P(2 m, 2 t+1)$ |
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