



On the restricted size Ramsey number for P_3 versus dense connected graphs

Denny Riama Silaban^a, Edy Tri Baskoro^b, Saladin Uttunggadewa^b

^a*Department of Mathematics,*

Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Indonesia

^b*Combinatorial Mathematics Research Group*

Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Institut Teknologi Bandung

Jalan Ganesa 10 Bandung, Indonesia

denny@sci.ui.ac.id, ebaskoro@math.itb.ac.id, s.uttunggadewa@math.itb.ac.id

Abstract

Let F , G and H be simple graphs. A graph F is said a (G, H) -arrowing graph if in any red-blue coloring of edges of F we can find a red G or a blue H . The size Ramsey number of G and H , $\hat{r}(G, H)$, is the minimum size of F . If the order of F equals to the Ramsey number of G and H , $r(G, H)$, then the minimum size of F is called the restricted size Ramsey number of G and H , $r^*(G, H)$. The Ramsey number of G and H , $r(G, H)$, is the minimum order of F . In this paper, we study the restricted size number involving a P_3 . The value of $r^*(P_3, K_n)$ has been given by Faudree and Sheehan. Here, we examine $r^*(P_3, H)$ where H is dense connected graph.

Keywords: restricted size Ramsey number, size Ramsey number, dense connected graph, path

Mathematics Subject Classification : 05C55, 05D10

DOI: 10.5614/ejgta.2020.8.2.14

1. Introduction

Let G be a graph with the vertex and edge set $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, respectively. We denote the order of G by $v(G)$ and the size of G by $e(G)$. A $\delta(G)$ (resp. $\Delta(G)$) denotes the minimum (resp. maximum) degree of vertices in G . If G is a graph and H is a subgraph of G , then graph

Received: 16 August 2019, Revised: 19 April 2020, Accepted: 3 July 2020.

$G - H$ has $V(G - H) = V(G)$ and $E(G - H) = E(G) \setminus E(H)$. Further terminologies in graphs can be found in [3].

A graph F is a (G, H) -arrowing graph if in any red-blue coloring of the edges of F we can find a red G or a blue H . Let F be (G, H) -arrowing graph. The Ramsey number of G and H , $r(G, H)$, is the smallest order of F and the size Ramsey number of G and H , $\hat{r}(G, H)$, is the smallest size of F . The restricted size Ramsey number of G and H , $r^*(G, H)$ is the smallest size of a F when its order equals the Ramsey number $r(G, H)$.

The size Ramsey number for a pair of graphs was introduced by Erdős et al. in 1978 [4], while the restricted size Ramsey number for a pair of graphs is a direct consequence of the concept of Ramsey and size Ramsey number in graphs. Some previous results on the (restricted) size Ramsey number of graphs was given in [1, 5] and the previous results on the restricted size Ramsey number involving a P_3 can be found in [9, 10, 11, 12, 13].

In 1972, Chvátal and Harary [2] introduced the off-diagonal Ramsey number, where the pair of graphs involved are from different classes. One of their results is the Ramsey number for P_3 and any graph without isolated vertices. In 1983, Faudree and Sheehan [7] investigated the size and the restricted Ramsey numbers involving stars. One of their results is the size and the restricted size Ramsey number for P_3 and K_n and they found that these both values are the same, namely, $\hat{r}(P_3, K_n) = r^*(P_3, K_n)$.

Furthermore, it was known that the lower and upper bounds of the size and the restricted size Ramsey number for any pair of graph G and H as follows.

$$e(G) + e(H) - 1 \leq \hat{r}(G, H) \leq r^*(G, H) \leq \binom{r(G, H)}{2}. \tag{1}$$

The first inequality was given by Harary and Miller [8].

In our previous work in [10], we have characterized all graphs H such that $r^*(P_3, H)$ attains the upper and lower bounds of (1). In this paper, we continue the investigation on the restricted size Ramsey number involving a P_3 . We give $r^*(P_3, H)$ with H a dense graph. Since H is dense, we can obtain it by removing some edge froms a complete graphs.

2. Preliminaries

The size and the restricted size Ramsey numbers for a path P_3 and a complete graph K_n was given by Faudree and Sheehan [7], as stated in Theorem 2.1. From the proof of Theorem 2.1 [7], we have Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3.

Theorem 2.1. [7] For a positive integer $n \geq 2$,

$$\hat{r}(P_3, K_n) = r^*(P_3, K_n) = 2(n - 1)^2.$$

Lemma 2.2. [7] For a positive integer $n \geq 2$, $F = K_{2n-1} - M$ is a (P_3, K_n) -arrowing graph, with M is a maximal matching in K_{2n-1} .

Lemma 2.3. [7] For a positive integer $n \geq 2$, let F be a graph with $v(F) = 2n - 1$. If F is a (P_3, K_n) -arrowing graph, then $\delta(F) \geq 2n - 3$.

The Ramsey number for P_3 and any graph H without isolated vertices was given by Chvátal and Harary [2], as stated in Theorem 2.4. This result gives the order of (P_3, H) -arrowing graph to find $r^*(P_3, H)$.

Theorem 2.4. [2] For any graph H with no isolates,

$$r(P_3, H) = \begin{cases} v(H), & \overline{H} \text{ has } 1\text{-factor,} \\ 2v(H) - 2\beta(\overline{H}) - 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

with $\beta(\overline{H})$ the maximum number of independent edges in the complement of H .

Let H be a connected graph with $v(H) = n$. From Theorem 2.4 we have $r(P_3, H) = n$ if $\beta(\overline{H}) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ and $r(P_3, H) > n$ otherwise. In [10], we showed that $r^*(P_3, H)$ is less than the upper bound of (1) for all H with $r(P_3, H) > n$. Here, we find the exact value of $r^*(P_3, H)$ for some H with $r(P_3, H) > n$.

The following monotonicity property is clear from the definition of the (restricted) size Ramsey number of graphs. If $F'_1 \subseteq F_1$ and $F'_2 \subseteq F_2$, then

$$\hat{r}(F'_1, F'_2) \leq \hat{r}(F_1, F_2). \tag{2}$$

and

$$r^*(F'_1, F'_2) \leq r^*(F_1, F_2). \tag{3}$$

Note that Chvátal and Harary [2] also gave the same monotonicity property for Ramsey number of graphs.

3. Main Results

First, we investigate the restricted size Ramsey number $r^*(P_3, H)$ for H a connected graph obtained by deleting some edges incident to a vertex from a complete graph. The results are given in Theorem 3.2 and 3.3.

Second, we investigate the restricted size Ramsey number $r^*(P_3, H)$ for H a connected graph obtained by deleting some edges incident to two vertices from a complete graph. The results are given in Theorem 3.4, 3.5, and 3.6.

To prove those theorems, we adopt the idea from Faudree and Sheehan in [7] by using a graph G_F which is defined as follows. Let F be a (G, H) -arrowing graph with all edges are colored by red and blue. A G_F is a graph with $V(G_F) = V(F)$ and $E(G_F)$ consists of red edges in F and edges in \overline{F} . Notice that $\overline{G_F}$ is the blue subgraph of F .

Additionally, we will also use Observation 3.1.

Observation 3.1. Let F, F', G , and H be graphs. If F is a (G, H) -arrowing graph and $F \subseteq F'$, then F' is a (G, H) -arrowing graph.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary F' is not a (G, F) -arrowing graph. It means there is a red-blue coloring ϕ of all edges in F' not containing a red H or a blue G . However, since $F \subseteq F'$, ϕ is also a red-blue coloring of all edges in F not containing a red H or a blue G . Thus, F is not a (G, F) -arrowing graph. A contradiction. \square

Theorem 3.2. Let n and t be integers with $2 \leq t \leq n - 2$. For $n \geq 3$,

$$r^*(P_3, K_n - K_{1,t}) = 2(n - 2)^2.$$

Proof. Note that $K_{n-1} \subseteq K_n - K_{1,t}$ for any t . Since $\beta(\overline{K_n - K_{1,t}}) = 1$, Theorem 2.4 implies the Ramsey number $r(P_3, K_n - K_{1,t}) = 2n - 3$ for $2 \leq t \leq n - 2$. However, the Ramsey number $r(P_3, K_{n-1}) = 2n - 3$ too. From Theorem 2.1 we have $r^*(P_3, K_{n-1}) = 2(n - 2)^2$. Since $K_{n-1} \subseteq K_n - K_{1,t}$, by (3) we get $r^*(P_3, K_n - K_{1,t}) \geq 2(n - 2)^2$ for all t in $2 \leq t \leq n - 2$. This completes the proof for the lower bound.

For the upper bound, let $F = K_{2n-3} - M$ with M a maximal matching in K_{2n-3} . Note that $e(F) = 2(n - 2)^2$. Consider any red-blue coloring ϕ of all edges in F not containing a red P_3 . The graph G_F will consist of even cycles and paths. It means $\Delta(G_F) \leq 2$. Furthermore, according to Lemma 2.2, F is a (P_3, K_{n-1}) -arrowing graph. Since $\Delta(G_F) \leq 2$, we can extend K_{n-1} to $K_n - K_{1,t}$ for $2 \leq t \leq n - 2$ in $\overline{G_F}$. Thus, F is a $(P_3, K_n - K_{1,t})$ -arrowing graph and $r^*(P_3, K_n - K_{1,t}) \leq 2(n - 2)^2$ for $2 \leq t \leq n - 2$. \square

The restricted size Ramsey number $r^*(P_3, H)$ for $H = K_n - K_{1,1}$ is given in Theorem 3.3. We use K_2 in terms of $K_{1,1}$.

Theorem 3.3. For $n \geq 4$,

$$r^*(P_3, K_n - K_2) = 2(n - 2)^2 + 1.$$

Proof. Note that $K_{n-1} \subseteq K_n - K_2$. Since $\beta(\overline{K_n - K_2}) = 1$, Theorem 2.4 implies $r(P_3, K_n - K_2) = 2n - 3$. Note again that the Ramsey number $r(P_3, K_{n-1}) = 2n - 3$ too. For the lower bound, we consider all graphs F with $v(F) = 2n - 3$ and $e(F) = 2(n - 2)^2$. However, if F is a (P_3, K_{n-1}) -arrowing graph, Lemma 2.3 implies $\delta(F) \geq 2n - 5$. The only graph satisfies the above conditions is $F = K_{2n-3} - M$, with M a maximal matching in K_{2n-3} . Take a red-blue coloring of all edges in F not containing a red P_3 such that $G_F \cong P_{2n-3}$. According to Lemma 2.2, F is a (P_3, K_{n-1}) -arrowing graph. But, each vertex which does not belong to subgraph K_{n-1} in $\overline{G_F}$ is adjacent to exactly two vertices that induced K_{n-1} in $\overline{G_F}$. It means we cannot extend K_{n-1} to $K_n - K_2$ in $\overline{G_F}$. Thus, F is not a $(P_3, K_n - P_2)$ -arrowing graph and $r^*(P_3, K_n - P_2) \geq 2(n - 2)^2 + 1$.

For the upper bound, let $F = K_{2n-3} - (|M| - 1)K_2$ with M a maximal matching in K_{2n-3} . Note that $e(F) = 2(n - 2)^2 + 1$. We will show that F is a $(P_3, K_n - K_2)$ -arrowing graph. According to Lemma 2.2, $K_{2n-3} - M$ is a (P_3, K_{n-1}) -arrowing graph. Since $K_{2n-3} - M \subseteq F$, Observation 3.1 implies F is also a (P_3, K_{n-1}) -arrowing graph. Consider any red-blue coloring ϕ of all edges in F not containing a red P_3 . The graph G_F will consists of even cycles and paths with at least one path of even order. Suppose V' is the set of vertices that induces a K_{n-1} in $\overline{G_F}$. Since there is a path of even order in G_F , there must be at least one vertex $v \in V \setminus V'$ that is adjacent to exactly one vertex $v' \in V'$. It means we can extend K_{n-1} to have a $K_n - K_2$ in $\overline{G_F}$. Thus, F is a $(P_3, K_n - P_2)$ -arrowing graph and $r^*(P_3, K_n - K_2) \leq 2(n - 2)^2 + 1$. \square

The next result is $r^*(P_3, H)$ with H a connected graph obtained by deleting some edges incident to two vertices in K_n . First, we consider collection of graphs obtained by deleting edges in $K_{1,s} \cup K_{1,t}$ for $2 \leq s \leq n - 2$ and $3 \leq t \leq n - 2$, as given in Theorem 3.4. When $1 \leq s, t \leq 2$,

the graph $K_{1,s} \cup K_{1,t}$ is one of $2P_3, P_3 \cup K_2, P_4, 2K_2, P_3$, or K_2 . The values of $r^*(P_3, H)$ with H either $K_n - P_3$ or $K_n - K_2$ already include in Theorems 3.2 and 3.3. Theorems 3.5 and 3.6 give $r^*(P_3, H)$ for H a graph obtained by deleting edges in $2P_3, P_3 \cup K_2, P_4$, or $2K_2$ from K_n .

Theorem 3.4. *Let n, s, t be integers with $2 \leq s \leq n - 2$ and $3 \leq t \leq n - 2$. For $n \geq 5$,*

$$r^*(P_3, K_n - (K_{1,s} \cup K_{1,t})) = 2(n - 3)^2.$$

Proof. Note that $K_{n-2} \subseteq K_n - (K_{1,s} \cup K_{1,t})$ for $2 \leq s \leq n - 2$ and $3 \leq t \leq n - 2$. Since $\beta(\overline{K_n - (K_{1,s} \cup K_{1,t})}) = 2$, Theorem 2.4 implies $r(P_3, K_n - (K_{1,s} \cup K_{1,t})) = 2n - 5$. Note that the Ramsey number $r(P_3, K_{n-2}) = 2n - 5$ too. From Theorem 2.1 we have $r^*(P_3, K_{n-2}) = 2(n - 3)^2$. Since $K_{n-2} \subseteq K_n - (K_{1,s} \cup K_{1,t})$, by (3) we get $r^*(P_3, K_n - (K_{1,s} \cup K_{1,t})) \geq 2(n - 3)^2$ for $2 \leq s \leq n - 2$ and $3 \leq t \leq n - 2$. This completes the proof for the lower bound.

For the upper bound, let $F = K_{2n-5} - M$ with M a maximal matching in K_{2n-5} . Note that $e(F) = 2(n - 3)^2$. Lemma 2.2 implies F is a (P_3, K_{n-2}) -arrowing graph. Consider any red-blue coloring of all edges of F not containing a red P_3 . The graph G_F will consist of even cycles and paths. It means $\Delta(G_F) \leq 2$. As a consequence, we can extend the subgraph K_{n-2} to have a subgraph $K_n - (K_{1,s} \cup K_{1,t})$ for $2 \leq s \leq n - 2$ and $3 \leq t \leq n - 2$ in $\overline{G_F}$. Therefore, F is a $(P_3, K_n - (K_{1,s} \cup K_{1,t}))$ -arrowing graph and $r^*(P_3, K_n - (K_{1,s} \cup K_{1,t})) \leq 2(n - 3)^2$ for $2 \leq s \leq n - 2$ and $3 \leq t \leq n - 2$. \square

Theorem 3.5. *For $n \geq 5$,*

$$r^*(P_3, K_n - 2P_3) = r^*(P_3, K_n - (P_3 \cup K_2)) = 2(n - 3)^2 + 1.$$

Proof. Note that $K_{n-2} \subseteq K_n - 2P_3 \subseteq K_n - (P_3 \cup K_2)$. Since $\beta(\overline{K_n - 2P_3}) = \beta(\overline{K_n - (P_3 \cup K_2)}) = 2$, Theorem 2.4 implies $r(P_3, K_n - 2P_3) = r(P_3, K_n - (P_3 \cup K_2)) = 2n - 5$. Note that the Ramsey number $r(P_3, K_{n-2}) = 2n - 5$ too. For the lower bound, we consider all graphs F with $v(F) = 2n - 5$ and $e(F) = 2(n - 3)^2$. However, if F is a (P_3, K_{n-2}) -arrowing graph, then Lemma 2.3 implies $\delta(F) \geq 2n - 7$. The only graph satisfies the above conditions is $F = K_{2n-5} - M$, with M a maximal matching in K_{2n-5} . Take a red-blue coloring ϕ of all edges in F such that $G_F \cong P_{2n-5}$. According to Lemma 2.2, F is a (P_3, K_{n-2}) -arrowing graph. Suppose V' is the set of vertices that induces K_{n-2} in $\overline{G_F}$. Since $G_F \cong P_{2n-5}$, each vertex $v \in V \setminus V'$ is adjacent to exactly two vertices that belong to V' in G_F . It means we cannot extend the subgraph K_{n-2} to have a subgraph $K_n - 2P_3$ in $\overline{G_F}$. As a consequence, $r^*(P_3, K_n - 2P_3) \geq 2(n - 3)^2 + 1$. Since $K_n - 2P_3 \subseteq K_n - (P_3 \cup K_2)$, by (3), $r^*(P_3, K_n - (P_3 \cup K_2)) \geq 2(n - 3)^2 + 1$.

For the upper bound, let $F = K_{2n-5} - (|M| - 1)K_2$ with M a maximal matching in K_{2n-5} . Note that $e(F) = 2(n - 3)^2 + 1$. We will show that F is a $(P_3, K_n - (P_3 \cup K_2))$ -arrowing graph. According to Lemma 2.2, $K_{2n-3} - M$ is a (P_3, K_{n-2}) -arrowing graph. Since $K_{2n-5} - M \subseteq F$, Observation 3.1 implies F is also a (P_3, K_{n-2}) -arrowing graph. Consider any red-blue coloring ϕ of all edges in F not containing a red P_3 . The graph G_F will consists of even cycles and paths with at least one path of even order. Suppose V' is the set of vertices that induces a K_{n-2} in $\overline{G_F}$. Since there is a path of even order in G_F , there must be at least one vertex $v \in V \setminus V'$ that adjacent to exactly one vertex $v' \in V'$ in G_F . It means we can extend the subgraph K_{n-2} to have

a subgraph $K_n - 2K_2$ in \overline{G}_F . As a consequence, F is a $(P_3, K_n - (P_3 \cup K_2))$ -arrowing graph and $r^*(P_3, K_n - (P_3 \cup K_2)) \leq 2(n - 3)^2 + 1$. Since $K_n - 2P_3 \subseteq K_n - (P_3 \cup K_2)$, by (3), $r^*(P_3, K_n - 2P_3) \leq 2(n - 3)^2 + 1$. \square

Theorem 3.6. For $n \geq 5$,

$$r^*(P_3, K_n - P_4) = r^*(P_3, K_n - 2K_2) = 2(n - 3)^2 + 2.$$

Proof. Note that $K_{n-2} \subseteq K_n - P_4 \subseteq K_n - 2K_2$. Since $\beta(\overline{K_n - P_4}) = \beta(\overline{K_n - 2K_2}) = 2$, Theorem 2.4 implies $r(P_3, K_n - P_4) = r(P_3, K_n - 2K_2) = 2n - 5$. The Ramsey number $r(P_3, K_{n-2}) = 2n - 5$ too. For the lower bound, we consider all graphs F with $v(F) = 2n - 5$ and $e(F) = 2(n - 3)^2 + 1$. However, if F is a (P_3, K_{n-2}) -arrowing graph, then Lemma 2.3 implies $\delta(F) \geq 2n - 7$. The only graph satisfies the above conditions is $F = K_{2n-5} - (|M| - 1)K_2$, with M a maximal matching in K_{2n-5} . Take a red-blue coloring ϕ of all edges in F such that $G_F \cong P_{2n-6} \cup K_1$. According to Lemma 2.2, $K_{2n-5} - M$ is a (P_3, K_{n-2}) -arrowing graph and according to Observation 3.1, F is also a (P_3, K_{n-2}) -arrowing graph. Suppose V' is the set of vertices that induces a K_{n-2} in \overline{G}_F . Since G_F is $P_{2n-6} \cup K_1$, there must be a leaf v of P_{2n-6} such that $v \notin V'$ which is adjacent to exactly one vertex $v' \in V'$ in G_F . It means we cannot extend the subgraph K_{n-2} to have a subgraph $K_n - P_4$ in \overline{G}_F . Thus F is not a $(P_3, K_n - P_4)$ -arrowing graph and $r^*(P_3, K_n - P_4) \geq 2(n - 3)^2 + 2$. Since $K_n - P_4 \subseteq K_n - 2K_2$, by (3) we have $r^*(P_3, K_n - 2K_2) \geq 2(n - 3)^2 + 2$.

For the upper bound, let $F = K_{2n-5} - (|M| - 2)K_2$ with M a maximal matching in K_{2n-5} . Note that $e(F) = 2(n - 3)^2 + 2$. We will show that F is a $(P_3, K_n - 2K_2)$ -arrowing graph. According to Lemma 2.2, $K_{2n-5} - M$ is a (P_3, K_{n-2}) -arrowing graph and according to Observation 3.1, F is also a (P_3, K_{n-2}) -arrowing graph. Consider any red-blue coloring ϕ of all edges in F not containing a red P_3 . The graph G_F will consists of even cycles and paths with at least two path of even order. Suppose V' is the set of vertices that induced K_{n-2} in the \overline{G}_F . Since there are two path of even order in G_F , there must be at least two vertices $u, v \notin V'$ adjacent to exactly a vertex $v' \in V'$ in G_F . It means we can extend the subgraph K_{n-2} to have a subgraph $K_n - 2K_2$ in \overline{G}_F . As a consequence, $r^*(P_3, K_n - 2K_2) \leq 2(n - 3)^2 + 2$. Since $K_n - P_4 \subseteq K_n - 2K_2$, by (3) we have $r^*(P_3, K_n - P_4) \leq 2(n - 3)^2 + 2$. \square

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the referees for their careful reading of the manuscript and valuable suggestions. This research was partially supported by the Research Grant "Penelitian Dasar Unggulan Perguruan Tinggi", Ministry of Research, Technology, and Higher Education, Indonesia.

References

- [1] S.A. Burr, A survey of noncomplete Ramsey theory for graphs, *Ann. New York Acad. Sci.* **328** (1979), 58–75; doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.1979.tb17768.x.

- [2] V. Chvátal and F. Harary, Generalized Ramsey theory for graphs III: small off-diagonal number, *Pac. J. Math.* **41** (2) (1972), 335–345; doi:10.2140/pjm.1972.41.335.
- [3] R. Diestel. *Graph Theory*, Springer-Verlag Heidelberg, New York, 4 edition, 2005.
- [4] P. Erdős, R.J. Faudree, C.C. Rousseau, and R.H. Schelp, The size Ramsey number, *Period. Math. Hung.*, Volume **9** (1978), Issue 1-2, 145–161.
- [5] R.J. Faudree and R. Schelp, A survey of results on the size Ramsey numbers, *Paul Erdős and His Mathematics II* **10** (2002), 291–309.
- [6] R.J. Faudree and J. Sheehan, Size Ramsey numbers for small-order graphs, *J. Graph Theory* **7** (1983), 53–55.
- [7] R.J. Faudree and J. Sheehan, Size Ramsey numbers involving stars, *Discrete Math.* **46** (1983), 151–157; doi:10.1016/0012-365X(83)90248-0.
- [8] F. Harary and Z. Miller, Generalized Ramsey theory VIII: the size Ramsey number of small graphs, *Studies in Pure Mathematics - To the Memory of Paul Turán*, Birkhäuser (1983), 271–283; doi:10.1007/978-3-0348-5438-2_25.
- [9] D. R. Silaban, E. T. Baskoro, and S. Uttunggadewa, On the restricted size Ramsey number, *Procedia Comput. Sci.*, **74** (2015), 21–26; doi:10.1016/j.procs.2015.12.069.
- [10] D.R. Silaban, E.T. Baskoro, and S. Uttunggadewa, On the restricted size Ramsey number involving a path P_3 , *Discus. Math. Graph T.* Volume **39** (2019), Issue 2, 741–755; doi.org/10.7151/dmgt.2188.
- [11] D.R. Silaban, E.T. Baskoro, and S. Uttunggadewa, Restricted size Ramsey number for P_3 versus small paths, *AIP Conf. Proc.*, **1707** (2016); doi:10.1063/1.4940821.
- [12] D.R. Silaban, E.T. Baskoro, and S. Uttunggadewa, Restricted size Ramsey number for path of order three versus graph of order five, *Electron. J. Graph Theory Appl.* **5** (2017), Issue 1, 155–162; doi:10.5614/ejgta.2017.5.1.15.
- [13] D.R. Silaban, E.T. Baskoro, and S. Uttunggadewa, Restricted size Ramsey number for P_3 versus dense connected graphs of order six, *AIP Conf. Proc.* **1862** (2017) 030136; doi: 10.1063/1.4991240.